TRUMP BATTLES LEVIATHAN

By A. J. Reffes

Progress Publishers

For Kathy, friend, mentor and wife. *Stupor Mundi.*

Copyright © 2025 by A. J. Reffes. All rights reserved. Published by Progress Publishers, Berlin, Germany.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION 3

HOLLYWOOD DIRTIES SNOW WHITE 4

TRUMP BATTLES LEVIATHAN 6

MEDICAL JOURNAL GOES ROGUE 9

THE ASSAULT ON LOGIC 11

HITLER-PUTIN-APPEASEMENT-SURRENDER 14

AMERICAN INSTITUTION COLLAPSES 16

BRITAIN'S STATE RELIGION: ISLAM 18

THE MANY FRIENDS OF MARXISM 21

REDS ABDUCT AMERICA'S CHILDREN 23

MARX AND RAWLS VERSUS FREEDOM 26

EUROPE ON LIFE SUPPORT 28

AMERICA'S CONSTITUTION DIES 30

SMITHSONIAN SUBVERSION 33

CURTAIN LOWERS ON SHAKESPEARE 36

DOMINOES FALL ACROSS ASIA 38

PAINTER OF LIGHT SMEARED BY THE ESTABLISHMENT 40

REDS STEAL AMERICA'S ELECTIONS 43

THE NEW BARBARIANS 45

SOUTH AFRICA TODAY: WHITE MAN DIE! 47

EMPIRES GOOD AND EVIL 50

INTRODUCTION

These reports are intended for a general, nonspecialist audience. They run the gamut of the arts and sciences, historical and current events, and should provide the reader with useful introductions to given topics. May they encourage a few happy hours of suggestive thought!

HOLLYWOOD DIRTIES SNOW WHITE

It is the most influential work in children's literature and occupies the top ranks of UNESCO's Memory of the World Registry. First published in 1812, *Grimm's Fairy Tales* has been an inexhaustible spring of inspiration, entertainment and enlightenment for over two centuries, and has established its authors, Jacob and Wilhelm Grimm, as the world's greatest literary teachers of youth. Tale number fifty three in the first edition of this classic, *Snow White* has captivated children throughout world, from Europe to America to Asia to India to China to Africa to the Middle East, and taken them to distant realms inhabited by the magic mirror, the glass coffin, the poisoned apple, the Evil Queen and the Seven Dwarfs. The story formed the basis of the 1912 hit Broadway play and the 1937 Walt Disney musical fantasy film, which was the first animated film in Hollywood and has been the most influential, was the highest grossing film in 1938, and is one of the highest grossing films of all time. In the twenty first century, this thousand year old tale has been fed into a Hollywood shredder marked *political correctness* and rendered unrecognizable. No longer a tale to teach and charm children, it has become one more Hollywood agitation piece, one more Hollywood declaration of war on the Enlightenment and one more Hollywood symptom of just how sick the West has become as it spirals into collapse. Look at this silver screen projection of studio propaganda and proselytising in place of exciting audience fascination and delight, and see the terrorization of children perpetrated under the cover of wholesome family entertainment. The new *Snow White* for the twenty first century has eliminated the Seven Dwarfs on the grounds of progressive politics. It adheres to a party line as deranged as it is widespread among the mob. It threatens violence against supporters of Donald Trump and the American values of freedom and constitutional government. It supports Hamas in its terror war to eradicate Israel. It is a rifle volley of contemporary gender, race and class politics, riddling civilization with bullet holes and blasting it into the dustbin of history: "Get woke, go broke—and end up as a joke! That’s the lesson from Disney’s disastrous attempt to wokify the kids’ classic *Snow White And The Seven Dwarfs*. The House of Mouse, thanks to major pushback around its serial screwups, pivots and rereversals with the movie, has reportedly fallen back on basically a soft launch for its premiere. No glitz, no glam, no bells, no whistles—only a quiet preshow party and a red carpet with stars Gal Gadot and Rachel Zegler, from which the usual gaggle of journalists will be conspicuously absent. Why? Because the movie’s been a laughingstock ever since it leaked out that Disney was getting rid of the dwarfs in order to pacify deranged progressives like outraged millionaire *Game Of Thrones* star Peter Dinklage, who has dwarfism. Star Zegler leaned into the woke BS, saying, 'People are making these jokes about ours being the PC Snow White, where it’s like, yeah, it is, because it needed that.' Now, Disney has seemingly replaced the liveactor nondwarfs with CGI dwarfs in a desperate attempt to thread this insane needle. Zegler, however, wasn’t through. After Donald Trump won his remarkable 2024 victory, she posted on *Instagram*: 'May Trump supporters and Trump voters and Trump himself never know peace.' But she, like most wokies, proved to be as tough as a wet noodle and issued a groveling apology almost immediately. And don’t forget the Israel-Hamas war angle. Zegler’s a proHamas weirdo, like many actors, or at least roleplays as one; Gadot, famously, is an outspoken backer of Israel. And now the whole silly, sordid, stupid saga comes to a close—not with a bang but a whimper. Imagine if Disney had simply left the whole mess alone. Or if the company had actually come up with a *new* idea, instead of yet another remake—then further stultified it by imposing the procrustean bed of contemporary left politics. Remember, the *original Snow White* was a creative and commercial breakthrough, the first full length animated film from a Hollywood studio. It laid the foundations for *everything* that followed, from *Bambi* to *The Iron Giant* to *Toy Story*. Disney should *create*, not propagandize. Do that, and kids and families will come flocking." (*Disney ‘Snow White’ Disaster Proves It Again: Go Woke, Go Broke! New York Post*, editorial, March 12, 2025). The new

Snow White for the twenty first century features dwarfs produced by computer generated imagery to avoid violating the politically correct strictures of ableism, or discrimination in favor of the able, and offending the sensibilities of real life, left wing dwarfs. It condemns capitalism as a regime of oppression and exploitation of women and nonwhites. It condemns heterosexual men as inveterate stalkers, assaulters and rapists of women. It is a live action poster for Islamic migration, Hamas invasions, Free Palestine and the eradication of Jews. It is an attack on Donald Trump and the world he champions, the world in which America has become great again because it has become free again: "The horrid rollout for Disney's *Snow White* continues to bomb as the $250 million plus film's latest trailer is bombarded with negative reviews, promises to boycott, and rebukes for its much maligned star, Rachel Zegler. The one minute clip, quietly released over the weekend, has a dismal 38,000 views in four days. Predictably, though, it's garnered some 1,600 likes to nearly 5,000 dislikes. Sure, that's not the 84,000 dislikes the movie's last trailer racked up in just two days but it's a terrifying trend for a studio hoping to tally a box office win in what has already been a rocky 2025. 'I don't care what people say. I will never watch this movie. Sorry Gal Gadot. It's not because of you. It's because of Rachel Zegler,' wrote one YouTube commenter, reflecting a sentiment shared thousands of times over. The trailer offers fans little in the way of new visuals, but does rely heavily on Zegler's singing (*Waiting On A Wish*) and Snow White meeting the CGIed dwarfs. Garnering a mere 38,000 views in four days has got to be cause for concern for Disney executives. Though, these trailers are just one of many media rollout legs. Another is movie premieres. But Disney has also suffered a setback in that department, too. John Nolte noted: 'After two years of negative controversy, expensive reshoots, and becoming a national punchline, the movie will finally arrive in theaters on March 21. But in the UK, it sounds like Disney is looking to quietly sneak it over the finish line.' 'Disney are already anticipating an antiwoke backlash against *Snow White* and have reduced the media schedule to just a handful of tightly controlled press events,' a source told the *Daily Mail.* 'That is why they have taken the highly unusual step not to host a London premiere for the film and are minimizing the amount of press questions that Rachel Zegler gets.' Zegler bashed the classic 1937 original film, called the Prince a stalker, and that all came amid Disney deciding to not cast real life little people to play the seven dwarfs. All this certainly played a role in Zegler recently attempting to walk back her criticism of the original film. But there may not be much she can do to repair her reputation after she attacked and smeared supporters of President Donald Trump and made *Free Palestine* proclamations, just as her costar Gal Gadot, an Israeli, was defending herself and Israel from the same genocidal proHamas supporters Zegler failed to publicly condemn. That brings us to ticket presale, which just opened up. It's sure to be a data point Disney's hoping to show positive signs ahead of the film's March 21st worldwide debut. *Snow White's* 53 million dollars domestic opening figure is down at least $10 million from what Disney had originally projected the film to open to here at home." (*Disney's Latest Snow White Trailer Hit With Negative Reviews As Ticket PreSale Begins* by Jerome Hudson). The new *Snow White* for the twenty first century is a promotional film for the Marxist gospel of diversity, equity and inclusion. According to this, a progressive society is a racist and sexist society which excludes white males in the name of achieving diversity, inclusion and a special, higher form of fairness, a special form transcending ordinary notions of fairness, a special form ordinarily called unfairness, a special form called *equity.* The new *Snow White* for the twenty first century features a nonwhite actress playing Snow White in the name diversity, equity and inclusion. It is a jackboot of political correctness goose stepping into the audience. It condemns male-female romance, pursuit, devotion and admiration as expressions of male chauvinist capitalism and as politically incorrect. It conducts a culture war against the liberal values of the Enlightenment. It excludes the dwarfs demanded by the original story on the grounds that their depiction promotes negative, politically incorrect views of dwarfs. It strives to be the highest quality and most outstanding representation of Marxism on celluloid, and to be the Shakespearean *Hamlet* of the seventh art, the

classic motion picture destabilization and subversion of society: "Good or bad, *Snow White* is destined for a reaction. 'It's a complete quagmire but, realistically, what did they expect?' says Stephen Galloway, dean of Chapman University's Dodge College of Film and Media Arts in California and former executive editor of the *Hollywood Reporter.* 'You're going into this with a movie called *Snow White*. It's hard to imagine a picture in this DEI [diversity, equity and inclusion] age could be more controversial and polarizing–and Disney is all about not being polarizing, bringing people together and avoiding controversy at all costs.' Zegler [Rachel Zegler] told *Vanity Fair* in 2022 that people were making jokes 'about ours being the PC *Snow White*, where it's like, yeah, it is–because it needed that. It's an 85 year old cartoon, and our version is a refreshing story about a young woman who has a function beyond Someday My Prince Will Come.' It may be that Disney is a touchstone that makes for a big, easy target in the culture wars, and *Snow White* is the fairest target of them all in its archive. If the movie is good enough, it could cut through the cultural flak. 'From the beginning *Snow White* had red flags waving–a whiter than white heroine at a time when Hollywood is moving away from that, rescued by Prince Charming, when that's a chauvinist trope, and seven dwarfs, when the word dwarf is a negative. It's not like any of this crept up on Disney unawares. But *Snow White* is also the classic of classics–it is to animation what Hamlet is to the theater.' [Peter Kunze]" (*'It's Controversial And Polarizing': Is Disney's New Snow White A Poisoned Apple?* by Edward Helmore). A Columbian actress cast in the leading role by a director called Political Correctness. No Seven Dwarfs, no Prince Charming and no traditional heterosexual interactions, as demanded by a director called Political Correctness. Snow White, "the fairest one of all," has been dirtied in the twenty first century in the Marxist sewers of mob politics.

TRUMP BATTLES LEVIATHAN

Legacy. The enduring impact of events which occurred during a person's life or in the past. Synonyms include *consequence*, *effect*, *outcome* and *upshot.* The legacy of the Enlightenment, the belief in the primacy of reason, science, the evidence of the senses and rights of the individual, has given people in the West today whatever freedom still remaining to them, a freedom under round the clock attack by the forces of antireason represented by the Marxist Left and the Christian Right. In the twenty first century, an American statesman has created a legacy which has inspired freedom fighters throughout the nation as the forces of statism close in upon them. This legacy is a movement to overthrow the federal government. This movement is driven by three arguments, three syllogisms which have loaded the magazines of freedom. **Syllogism One.** Welfare states, which legalize through taxation the robbing of Peter to pay Paul, are criminal. The federal government has been, since Franklin Roosevelt's New Deal administration in the 1930s, a welfare government. The federal government is criminal. **Syllogism Two.** Regulatory states, which violate the right to private property through regulations on business such as nondiscrimination laws, are criminal. The federal government has been, since the early years of the twentieth century, with the advent of Theodore Roosevelt's Progressivist policies, a regulatory government. The federal government is criminal. **Syllogism Three.** States which steal elections are criminal states. The federal government stole the 2020 presidential from Donald Trump. The federal government is criminal. Three commissions of criminality by the federal government over the course of one hundred years, three commissions which have given freedom fighters orders to revolt. On January 6, 2021 and March 15, 2025, Donald Trump defied the federal government and left a legacy for Americans who still revere the Enlightenment. **January 6, 2021.** On January 6, 2021, Donald Trump led an attempted insurrection against the federal government in an effort to stop the fraudulent certification of Joe Biden as president. The insurrection lasted for five hours, from 1 pm to 6 pm, and succeeded in stopping the operations of the federal government for twenty four hours. The certification by Congress was postponed until January 7. This was the first time the operations of the American

federal welfare, regulatory leviathan had been stopped. They were stopped because both the United States Supreme Court and Vice President Mike Pence refused to perform their duty and safeguard the rule of law, the former proclaiming "no standing" of plaintiffs to sue, and the latter proclaiming "no authority" to send back electoral votes acquired through fraud. Patriotic citizens protesting the theft of the presidential election, unable to achieve redress through peaceful means, were left with no other option but to use violence and seek to overthrow the government. Proclaiming "If you do not fight like hell, you are not going to have a country anymore," Trump led 5,000 people to break through police lines, dissolve government perimeters, and occupy the chambers and offices of the Capital Building. Their target was a federal government which had violated the right of the people to vote in free and fair elections. The 2020 election was stolen by fraudulent mail in ballots. It was stolen by voters who cast multiple ballots for Joe Biden on behalf of friends, family, spouses and children. It was stolen by voters who cast ballots in states in which they were not legal residents. It was stolen by voters who forged signatures. It was stolen by lax election laws and manufactured votes for Biden which delivered a banana republic by express mail: "In the 2020 election, more than 1 in 5 voters who submitted ballots by mail say they did so fraudulently, a survey from Rasmussen Reports and the Heartland Institute reveals. The survey asked those who voted by mail in the 2020 election if they filled out a ballot 'in part or in full, on behalf of a friend or family member, such as a spouse or child?' to which 21 percent said they had done so. Though many states allow voters to receive assistance while voting, the Heartland Institute notes, filling out ballots on behalf of another person is illegal across the United States. In addition, 17 percent of mail in voters in the 2020 election said they cast a ballot in a state where they were no longer a permanent resident—a violation of federal election law. Another 17 percent of mail in voters said they signed a ballot on behalf of someone else, also a violation of election law. 'The results of this survey are nothing short of stunning,' Justin Haskins with the Heartland Institute said in a statement: 'For the past three years, Americans have repeatedly been told that the 2020 election was the most secure in history. But if this poll's findings are reflective of reality, the exact opposite is true. This conclusion isn't based on conspiracy theories or suspect evidence, but rather from the responses made directly by the voters themselves.' Another 10 percent of all voters said they know someone who admitted to casting a mail in ballot in a state where they are not a permanent resident and 11 percent said they know someone who admitted to signing a mail in ballot on behalf of someone else in the 2020 election. In the 2020 election, mail in voting skyrocketed as states rushed to loosen election laws under the guise of the threat of the Chinese coronavirus pandemic. According to the US Census Bureau, 43 percent of all voters that year cast ballots by mail and 26 percent cast ballots before election day. The survey questioned 1,085 likely US voters and was conducted from November 30 through December 6, 2023. The margin of error is +/- three percentage points." (*Survey: More Than 1 In 5 Mail In Voters Admit To Cheating In 2020 Election* by John Binder). The 2020 presidential election was stolen by the FBI and the federal intelligence community, which falsely claimed that evidence from Hunter Biden's laptop revealing Joe Biden's illegal business deals and influence peddling were Russian disinformation, and coerced social media to suppress the evidence. It was stolen by law enforcement authorities transformed into political weapons censoring Facebook and Twitter and denying voters access to the truth. It was stolen by secret, illegal cooperation between the FBI, intelligence chiefs, the Democrat Party and the Biden campaign to deny voters information about the greatest political scandal in American history. This was a scandal which saw the selling of the office of the vice president: "Seventy one percent of Americans believe accurate reporting of Hunter Biden's Laptop from Hell could have altered the 2020 presidential election, TIPP Insights polling revealed Monday. Leading up to the election, many in the establishment media falsely claimed Hunter's laptop was Russian disinformation. Those false claims were pushed by many in the intelligence community, who suggested in a now debunked *Politico* story from 2020 that Hunter's laptop was 'Russian disinfo.' The poll found over two

thirds of Americans believe the intelligence community intentionally lied to voters about the laptop by pushing big tech to censor the first report of Hunter's laptop by *Breitbart News's* Emma-Jo Morris. The recent release of the *Twitter Files* confirms law enforcement colluded with Twitter to censor Hunter's laptop story. Meta's CEO Mark Zuckerberg has admitted the FBI warned Facebook in 2020 ahead of the story's publication about imminent Russian disinformation. Now, more than two years after the election, nearly two thirds of Americans believe the contents of Hunter's laptop are authentic. The *Daily Mail* reported additional findings from the poll: 'An even wider margin—more than three quarters of respondents—said any collusion between senior Democrats, the FBI, intelligence chiefs and the Biden campaign on suppressing the story would have denied voters access to critical information in the run-up to the election. Another half of respondents said they would have voted differently had they known the Hunter Biden's laptop revelations were authentic. Interestingly, Republican and Democratic voters were more or less aligned on this question.' " (*Poll: 71% Say Accurate Reporting Of Hunter's Laptop Could Have Altered 2020 Election* by Wendell Husebo). **March 15, 2025.** On March 15, 2025, Donald Trump violated a federal court order to cease and desist from deporting a guerrilla army of vice, crime, gangs and terror emanating from Venezuela, which had invaded America on a mission of national destruction. He violated a court order issued by the American welfare regulatory state to allow an alien, enemy, predatory nation to settle in a free society and subvert it from within. He violated an illegal regime's system of checks and balances and rejected the orders issued by a federal judicial agent of welfare regulatory tyranny over the people: "More than 200 Venezuelans alleged by the White House to be gang members have been deported from the US to a supermax prison in El Salvador, even as a US judge blocked the removals. El Salvador's President Nayib Bukele wrote on social media that 238 members of the Venezuelan gang Tren de Aragua had arrived in the Central American country, along with 23 members of the international MS-13 gang, on Sunday morning. Neither the US government nor El Salvador has identified the detainees, nor provided details of their alleged criminality or gang membership. A federal judge's order prevented the Trump administration from invoking a centuries old wartime law to justify some of the deportations, but the flights had already departed. US President Donald Trump announced on Saturday that he had signed a proclamation invoking the Alien Enemies Act of 1798 as he accused Tren de Aragua of 'perpetrating, attempting, and threatening an invasion or predatory incursion against the territory of the United States.' He said members of the gang would be deported for engaging in 'irregular warfare' against the US. The act--which was last used during World War Two to intern Japanese-American civilians--is a broad law that allows the detention and deportation of natives or citizens of an 'enemy' nation without the usual processes. On Saturday evening, US District Judge James Boasberg in Washington DC ordered a 14 day halt to deportations covered by Trump's proclamation, pending further legal arguments. After lawyers told him that planes with deportees had already taken off, Judge Boasberg gave a verbal order for the flights to turn back, US media reported. The American Civil Liberties Union, which was involved in the lawsuit against the Trump administration, said the court's order may have been violated. The case raises constitutional questions since, under the US system of checks and balances, government agencies are expected to comply with a federal judge's ruling." (*US Deports Hundreds Of Venezuelans Despite Court Order* by Brandon Drenon). The English Glorious Revolution of 1688, which defended the primacy of parliament over the crown and the separation between church and state. The American Revolution, 1765 to 1783, which established the world's first federal republic and commenced the Age of Revolution to establish Enlightenment freedom throughout the world. The storming of the Bastille on July 14, 1789, which commenced the French Revolution to displace the Old Regime by liberal democracy. The 1943 Warsaw Ghetto Uprising, which saw Jewish resistance to the the gas chambers of Majdanek and Treblinka. The fall of the Berlin Wall on November 9, 1989, which marked the beginning of the destruction of the Communist Bloc and its Iron Curtain. Each of these acts saw a

movement of civilized people daring to speak universal Enlightenment truths to tyrants in power. On January 6, 2021 and on March 15, 2025, Donald Trump fired shots at a criminal regime. Defying its Congress's fraudulent vote certifications and its federal court orders preventing the deportation of Latin American death carriers, he sought to smash the federal house of tyranny and bring an end to one hundred years of welfare regulatory occupation. His shots were heard around the world.

MEDICAL JOURNAL GOES ROGUE

It is the most prestigious medical journal in the world. Founded in 1812, its impact is rated at 100 in the *Web Of Science Master Journal List*, ranking it number one out of 168 medical journals. Its logo is the Rod of Aesclepius, a rod entwined with a snake wielded by the ancient Greek god Aesclepius, symbol of medicine and healing, together with a quill pen. Published every week by the Massachusetts Medical Society, the *New England Journal of Medicine* has run aground in the twenty first century, hitting the coast of a dark continent and getting trapped in the sands of political correctness. The West inhabits this dark continent and is lost in its dogmas. It is a continent known as Marxism and it has swallowed up civilization in its final stages of decline and taken science along with it. On this continent, the Age of Enlightenment is a distant, far off memory without meaning or import, and the notion of universal, objective, empirical truth, championed during that noble age, has been replaced by the notion of relativity and the doctrine that truth is an apriori construct of gender, race, class, culture and sexual orientation. In the twenty first century, science has been replaced by religion, by the mob religion of Marxism and its offshoots, and the sickness can be read on the pages of the world's premier medical journal. The hijacking of a respected, influential voice of medical research and its transformation into a propaganda machine is not an attractive sight to behold, and the new politicized pages of *NJEM* are windows into a nightmare which has engulfed every aspect of society and shown civilized people the face of the abyss. The abyss, the chasm, the nightmare of nonscience has produced a manifesto of revolution which has reversed the progress of centuries. It proclaims that a progressive medical journal promotes a woke culture which is conscious of the social injustice of the capitalist system and seeks to overthrow the system. A progressive medical journal rejects the empirically demonstrated, biological reality of human gender and promotes the religion of transgenderism, according to which gender can be changed by human action or belief. A progressive medical journal promotes the antiempirical myths of intersex and transgender existence. A progressive medical journal proclaims that birth certificates should not contain biologically based sex designations because such do not exist and are not useful to record. A progressive medical journal proclaims that sex is not biologically real and not possessed of a binary, noncontradictory character. A progressive medical journal proclaims that sex can appear in people in contradictory combinations and people can be both male and female at one and the same time and be neither male nor female. A progressive medical journal proclaims that there is no scientifically established definition of sex, the empirically demonstrated existence of sex chromosomes notwithstanding. A progressive medical journal proclaims that human gender is determined by subjective gender expression and has no relation to biological gender assignments. A progressive medical journal proclaims that sex is nonbinary, indeterminate and meaningless. A progressive medical journal proclaims that people are gender mosaics, monsters and things of horror. A progressive medical journal proclaims that whatever sex people identify with is the sex they in fact are. A progressive medical journal proclaims that males who act like females are in fact females, and females who act like males are in fact males. A progressive medical journal is not a report on medical science but an advocacy journal for transgender policies, laws and the destruction of civilization: "The *New England Journal of Medicine* (*NEJM*) embraced woke culture in a recent article asserting sex designations on birth certificates 'offer no clinical utility' and can even 'be harmful for intersex and transgender people.'

The authors of the article, titled *Failed Assignments–Rethinking Sex Designations on Birth Certificates*, state, 'We believe that it is now time to update the practice of designating sex on birth certificates, given the particularly harmful effects of such designations on intersex and transgender people.' Vadim M. Shteyler, MD, Jessica A. Clarke, JD, and Eli Y. Adashi, MD, wrote the piece in which they argue: 'Recognizing that the birth certificate has been an evolving document, with revisions reflecting social change, public interest, and privacy requirements, we believe it is time for another update: sex designations should move below the line of demarcation.' Biological sex, the authors say, should join 'race and parents' marital status' and those designations that are no longer 'useful' pieces of 'public health information.' They explain: 'Designating sex as male or female on birth certificates suggests that sex is simple and binary when, biologically, it is not. Sex is a function of multiple biologic processes with many resultant combinations. About 1 in 5000 people have intersex variations. As many as 1 in 100 people exhibit chimerism, mosaicism, or micromosaicism, conditions in which a person's cells may contain varying sex chromosomes, often unbeknownst to them. The biologic processes responsible for sex are incompletely defined, and there is no universally accepted test for determining sex.' 'Assigning sex at birth also doesn't capture the diversity of people's experiences,' the authors continue. 'About 6 in 1000 people identify as transgender, meaning that their gender identity doesn't match the sex they were assigned at birth.' 'Others are nonbinary, meaning they don't exclusively identify as a man or a woman, or gender nonconforming, meaning their behavior or appearance doesn't align with social expectations for their assigned sex,' they add. 'Now that the US Supreme Court has held, in *Obergefell v. Hodges*, that bans on same sex marriage are unconstitutional, only a few legal contexts relying on sex designations remain,' the authors further argue. According to his bio, Shteyler, a clinical assistant professor of medicine at Brown University, 'writes on the intersections of gender theory and medicine,' while Clark's bio notes she is a professor of law at Vanderbilt Law School where her research 'focuses on American equality law.' A professor of medical science, Adashi is a member of the National Academy of Medicine and a former advisor to the World Health Organization, the World Bank, the Rockefeller Foundation, and the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, his bio at Brown University states. The authors argue the medical community must no longer simply report scientific medical news. 'Today, the medical community has a duty to ensure that policymakers don't misinterpret the science regarding sex and that medical evaluations aren't being misused in legal contexts,' they conclude." (*Medical Journal: Birth Certificate Sex Designations 'Harmful' For Transgender People* by Susan Berry). The *New England Journal of Medicine* in the twenty first century proclaims that women who identify as men are men in the full biological, medical sense of the term. It proclaims that women who become pregnant and who identify as men are in fact men who have become pregnant. It proclaims that the phrase *pregnant woman* should be replaced by the phrase *pregnant person* to cover the situation in which transgender men are pregnant. A progressive medical journal is a journal of Soviet Stalinist pseudoscience which promotes the neoLysenkoist doctrine of transgenderism,with its denial of the existence of sex genes and sex heredity. Scientists and physicians must ignore empirical investigations and the results of genetics research and proclaim that a person's chosen gender is a biological reality. Progressive scientists are ideologues dressed in laboratory coats promoting the transgenderist insanity of sex chromosome denial, though public health be placed in danger and the lives of millions of people be damaged or destroyed: "The *New England Journal of Medicine*'s use of the term *pregnant persons* this week to refer to pregnant women marks a Stalinist moment in American medicine, recalling the Soviet dictator's embrace of the pseudoscience of Trofim Lysenko. Lyskeno, wrote Martin Amis in *Koba the Dread*, followed Jean-Baptiste Lamarck's view that hereditary characteristics could be acquired during an organism's lifetime and passed on to its offspring. He rejected Gregor Mendel's theory of genetics. He would have been unknown had his ideas not reinforced Soviet ideology under Joseph Stalin. Lysenko saw genetics as right wing; they seemed to

imply change was impossible. Lamarck's theory suggested that nature itself could be transformed. Stalin found Lysenko's ideas appealing—and millions died of famine as a result. This past Thursday, the *Journal* published a scientific letter titled, *On Preliminary Findings Of mRNA Covid 19 Vaccine Safety In Pregnant Persons*. The phrase *pregnant persons* implies that biological females who identify as males *are* males. This is something quite different than treating female to male transgender adults as men to be polite or culturally sensitive. While a few are prepared to adopt the new social convention of referring to a woman, even a pregnant one, as a man, if she (or he) wishes, fewer are prepared to accept the transgender movement's insistence that she is *actually* a man in a medical sense. The *Journal* is demanding that its audience of scientists and doctors accept the idea that pregnancy is not exclusive to females. It is Lysenkoism, in that it demands that scientists ignore genetics and regard a person's chosen gender as biological reality. As the *Journal*—until recently, the most trusted source in American medicine—appears to allow politics to dictate its scientific conclusions, there will be serious consequences for public health. As officials struggle to implement vaccine mandates, and lament the reluctance of millions of Americans to trust medical and scientific consensus, they need only look at what a phrase like *pregnant persons* does to suggest that the men and women in lab coats have lost their minds." (*Blue State Blues: When Transgenderism Becomes Stalinism* by Joel Pollak). In Greek antiquity on the island of Kos, the Father of Medicine commenced his career. On this island, Hippocrates began his healing practice in the temple of Aesclepius. People across Greece flocked to the temple and went before Hippocrates to be cured of their ills by science. In the twenty first century, the temples of reason, science, Aesclepius, Hippocrates and the Enlightenment have been set on fire by Marxist congregations for the faith.

THE ASSAULT ON LOGIC

A tidal wave is a massive ocean wave caused by an underwater earthquake or a volcanic eruption. On December 26, 2004, at 7:58 am local time, an underwater earthquake struck northern Sumatra, Indonesia, and produced a tsunami whose waves were thirty meters high. This Boxing Day Tsunami was the worst tidal wave in history, devastating communities, disrupting commerce and killing 300,000 people in fourteen nations. In the early years of the twentieth century, an intellectual earthquake struck the West and has been devastating, disrupting and killing the life of the mind for over one hundred years. It was the result of a crescendo which had been gathering speed for centuries, a crescendo fueled by Western Faustian subjectivism and irrationalism, and when it crashed, it brought the trends commencing with Rene Descartes, progressing through Immanuel Kant, and accelerating through G.W.F. Hegel, to a culmination. This tidal wave was the advent of a doctrine called many valued logic, an antisystem of antilogic which says that there are more than two truth values, more than *yes* and *no*, *true* and *false*, for any proposition, and that propositions can have the value of *indeterminate*, or *true* and *false*, *yes* and *no*, at one and the same time. The product of Descartes' "I think, therefore I am," which proclaimed the prior and sole certainty of human consciousness, and Kant's unperceivable "thing in itself," which proclaimed that physical objects are universal delusions and screens imposed by human eyesight, and Hegel's "Absolute Mind," which proclaimed that reality is pure mental process and becoming marked by the "synthesis" of "thesis and antithesis," the unity of yes and no, A and non A, in the ever flowing river, many valued antilogic marks the rock bottom point of Western Faustian mental disintegration. The father of sane, common sense, two valued logic, Aristotle has been relegated to the dust bin of history since the advent of many valued logic and been replaced by the drivers of a Western Faustian vortex of schizophrenic breakdown. Listen to the vortex and hear the human mind mauled apart. **Assault.** The whirling mass of mental debris proclaims that the classical, common sense, binary logic of noncontradiction is false. The notion that a statement can only be true or false is false.

The notion that a number is either 1 or not 1 is false. The notion that a number is either zero or not zero is false. There are no disjunctions or negations in true logic, no *ors* or *nots*, as there are in classical logic which excludes contradictions, but only conjunctions, only *ands*, because statements can be both true and false at one and the same time. Since truth is arbitrary and subjective, and reality is characterized by contradiction, there are as many truth values of a proposition as there are people on the planet. A progressive logic system is an antisystem because it contains contradictions and affirms three values for propositions: true, false and indeterminate or unknown. Reality is marked by ambiguity, shades, gradations and intermediate forms in which nothing is as it is and no statement is ever true or false. There is no absolute information possible about the world and therefore there are no statements which are absolutely true or false. Reality is nonbinary. uncertain, blurry, incomplete and probabilistic and nothing can be said about it without qualification. Reality has no nature or essence, and thus reality and truth do not exist: "Many valued logic is a type of mathematical logic that allows for more than two truth values. While binary logic, also known as classical logic, is based on the idea that a statement can only be true or false, many valued logic expands this notion to include additional truth values. In binary logic, truth values are typically represented by the symbols 1 and 0, or by the terms *true* and *false*. Statements in binary logic are evaluated based on their truth value, with logical operations such as conjunction (AND), disjunction (OR), and negation (NOT) applied to these values. On the other hand, many valued logic introduces more than just two truth values. The number of possible truth values can vary depending on the specific many valued logic system being used. For example, some systems may allow for three truth values (true, false, and unknown), while others may have four or even infinitely many truth values. One common many valued logic system is three valued logic. In this system, statements can be evaluated as true, false, or indeterminate/unknown. This additional third value allows for more nuanced reasoning where certain statements may not have a definite truth value due to lack of information or ambiguity. Many valued logic can be useful in representing uncertainty or incomplete information in various fields such as artificial intelligence, fuzzy systems, and probability theory. It provides a means to reason about situations where strict binary distinctions may not accurately capture reality." (*Many Valued Logic*, Obsidian.md). **Assault.** Philosophy in the twenty first century proclaims that statements can be neither true nor false and be both true and false. The traditional, common sense true-false logic is inapplicable to reality which is marked by indeterminacy. Reality is uncertain and is everything at once, and therefore propositions are uncertain and refer to every state at once. Reality is a continuum in which everything is everything else. A progressive logic rejects the traditional dichotomy of true-false and accommodates the irrational nature of existence by allowing contradictory propositions. A progressive logic is a pragmatic logic which rejects the law of noncontradiction because psychotic people feel better creating propositions without meaning. A forward thinking logic is approximate, inexact, infinite valued and says nothing about anything. An innovative logic rejects traditional, noncontradictory ways of thinking and provides a theory and framework of nonsensical signs. The logic of computations and computer programming must reflect the complex and incoherent nature of reality and contain both propositions and their negations. A true philosophical, mathematical, scientific and technical logic rejects common sense and sound judgment, and is based on the thought and language of madness, and a universe which is devoid of order: "In the realm of logic, Peirce explored the foundations of what would later be recognized as many valued logic, which includes his ideas on three valued logic. This form of logic includes three truth values—traditionally true, false, and a third value which can be interpreted as 'unknown', 'indeterminate', or 'neither true nor false'. 1. Indeterminacy: Peirce recognized the limitations of traditional true/false logic in dealing with the indeterminacy inherent in some kinds of philosophical and scientific inquiries. His idea was that certain propositions cannot be adequately assessed as merely true or false at a given time, which could be due to uncertainty, potentiality, or incomplete knowledge.

2. Continuum of Truth: Peirce's broader metaphysical and epistemological views considered truth as a continuum rather than a dichotomy. His logic sought to account for the ways in which reality and human understanding are not merely binary but involve gradations and conditions under which truth values might be indeterminate. 3. Influence and Development: Although Peirce's ideas on many valued logic were not fully developed into a formal system by him, they influenced later logicians such as Jan Lukasiewicz, who explicitly developed three valued and many valued logical systems in the 1920s. These developments extended the logical framework to include possibilities beyond traditional true/false dichotomies, accommodating statements that do not fit neatly into these categories. Peirce's forward thinking approaches to logic were part of a broader challenge to conventional philosophical and logical thought, anticipating significant twentieth century developments in logic and computation: Computational Logic and Programming: Many valued logics have found roles in areas such as computer science, where they help manage systems that deal with uncertain or incomplete information. Philosophical and Mathematical Logic: His work presaged later developments in areas such as fuzzy logic, which deals with reasoning that is approximate rather than fixed and exact. Charles Sanders Peirce's exploration of three valued and many valued logics is a testament to his innovative approach to philosophical inquiry and logic. His ability to foresee the limitations of strict binary logic and propose a system that could incorporate indeterminacy and continuity has left a lasting impact on both the field of logic and on practical applications in computing and beyond. His philosophical breadth was remarkable, integrating his logical theories into a larger framework of sign theory and pragmatism, illustrating his profound understanding of the complexities of language, thought, and reality." (*Charles Sanders Peirce And The Foundations Of Three Valued Logic*, Obsidian.md). **Assault.** Philosophy in the twenty first century proclaims that statements can never be verified or falsified and can be regarded as both true and false at one and the same time. The belief that reality is noncontradictory and statements are either true or false is a social convention and has no basis in fact. In actual fact, statements are intermediate and indeterminate in character and are completely senseless: "For example, if we have verified (by using a speedometer) that the velocity of a motor car is such and such, it might be impossible in such a world to verify or falsify certain statements concerning its position at that moment. If we know by reference to a physical law together with certain observational data that a statement as to the position of a motor car can never be falsified or verified, then there may be some point to not regarding the statement as true or false, but regarding it as 'middle.' It is only because, in macrocosmic experience, everything that we regard as an empirically meaningful statement seems to be at least potentially verifiable or falsifiable that we prefer the convention according to which we say that every such statement is either true or false, but in many cases we don't know which." (*Three Valued Logic* by Hilary Putnam). **Assault.** Delusions, hallucinations, disorganized thought and speech, the hearing of voices and the loss of contact with reality. These are symptoms of the most severe mental disorder afflicting man. The house of schizophrenia is the house of Western Faustian philosophy. The West has a history, a psychiatric history, which civilized have recorded in horror. Reaching back centuries to the subjectivist gospel of Descartes' self contained *I think*, it has culminated in the disintegration of thought known as many valued logic. Proclaiming that there are more than two truth values for propositions, that propositions can take on values other than truth or falsity, many valued logic cannot be claimed to be absolutely true and cancels itself. It cancels itself and the culture which created it and has closed down the mind of the West.

HITLER-PUTIN-APPEASEMENT-SURRENDER

Reincarnation is the religious idea that the supernatural essence of a person begins a new life in a different person after death. It is the idea that the soul of a person is immortal and upon the person's death, transmigrates into a newborn baby to continue its immortality. The idea is present in religions throughout the world, from Hinduism to Jainism to Judaism to Christianity, and has captivated the hearts of mystics and malcontents down through the ages. In the twenty first century, a moral reincarnation occurred which has sent the chill of fear coursing through the hearts of civilized people. Less than a century after Europe lay in ruins after the bloodiest war in history, the figure who started the war has returned and inhabited the soul of the leader presently waging war in Europe. Less than a century after German leader Adolf Hitler was defeated and his campaign of aggression halted, his soul is back in business in the person of Russian leader Vladimir Putin, and the wheel of history has spun round and restarted fascist terror in its campaign against the West. The parallels between Hitler and Putin are as plain as the noonday sun, and they form a noose which will strangle the West once again, less than a century after the West sought to appease Hitler and was attacked by him in response. **Parallels.** Look at the parallels between Hitler and Putin and see a storm gathering across the West. See a creed of racialism and ethnicism, expressed in panGermanism, Aryan supremacy and panRussianism. See a march against reason, science, individual rights, human progress and the Age of Enlightenment. See the promotion of religious conservatism and Christian nationalism. See the justification of state tyranny in the name of patriotism and family values. See the worship at the altars of national identity, national service and blind obedience to national authority. See calls to race war and race extermination, issuing from the pages of *Mein Kampf* and *On The Historical Unity Of Russians And Ukrainians*. See the demonization of Jews and Ukrainians as worthless, contemptible, bestial subhumanity fit only to be destroyed. See the exaltation of a thousand year Reich and a thousand year Russian Empire. See the proclamations that all people of German ethnicity belong together in a Greater German Reich, and must be united by invasion, annexation and force of arms, and all people of Russian ethnicity belong together in a Greater Russia, and must be united by invasion, annexation and force of arms. See the proclamation that the defeat of the Third Reich and the defeat of Mother Russia represent geopolitical catastrophes of the highest magnitude. See panGerman activism and panRussian activism. See a German war of territorial conquest and a Russian war of territorial conquest. See the proclamation that a German racial empire and a Russian racial empire will be established by the inexorable forces of history and destiny. See the promotion of moral and political regression in the form of ultraracialism, ultraethnicism, ultranationalism and ultraviolence. See a master plan for the destruction of racial enemies, ethnic enemies, religious enemies and the institutions of Western liberal civilization: "Hitler’s fixation with Germany’s defeat in the First World War is very similar to Vladimir Putin’s obsession with the demise of the Soviet Union. In a 2005 speech to the Russian Parliament, Putin described it as 'the greatest geopolitical catastrophe of the century.' 'As for the Russian people,' he said, 'it became a genuine tragedy. Tens of millions of our fellow citizens and countrymen found themselves beyond the fringes of Russian territory.' In Putin’s mind, this historical grievance lays the foundation for and justifies panRussian activism and territorial conquest. The historical imperative--the mission--is to correct the wrong if Russia’s historical destiny is to be realized. Putin’s panRussianism echoes Hitler’s panGermanism and amounts to a master plan for the destruction of Ukraine. The ideological foundations of Putin’s Russia, with its ultraconservatism and rejection of anything seen as progressive, bear an eerie resemblance to those of Hitler’s Germany. In Putin’s Russia, there has been an increased emphasis on socalled family values and a steady erosion of [lesbian and gay] rights, including a 2020 constitutional amendment which banned gay marriage. Perhaps this explains why Putin has some support among ultraconservative religious parties and Christian nationalists in Europe and the United States. One of Putin’s powerful institutional allies has been Patriarch Kirill of the Russian Orthodox Church who has openly supported the war in Ukraine. The church’s preachings, which link family

values with patriotism, Russia's identity, obedience to authority and service to the country, dovetails nicely with Putin's nationalist, revanchist and imperialist agenda. Hitler's *Mein Kampf* and Putin's 5,000 word essay of July 2021 entitled *On the Historical Unity of Russians and Ukrainians*, are both calls to action. Putin's treatise might have been longer had he, like Hitler, spent 264 days in prison. Published a mere seven months before Russia attacked Ukraine, Putin's essay described his panRussian philosophy, tracing its origins back 1,100 years. Taking a page from Tsarist nation building dogma, Putin argued that Russians, Ukrainians, Belarusians from Great Russia, Little Russia and White Russia respectively were one people belonging to the historic triune Russian nation." (*Is History Rhyming? The Putin–Hitler Analogy, Ukraine And Euro-Atlantic Security*, CDA Institute, July 1, 2024). **Parallels.** Look at the parallels between Hitler and Putin and see a shadow lengthening across the West. See the denial of human rights justified in the name of German national socialism and Russian national socialism. See the massacre of civilian populations justified in the name of protecting German national existence and Russian national existence. See genocide justified in the name of racial self defense. See holocausts launched against Jews and Ukrainians. See the past one hundred years commence an age of moral decline and fall, and descend into a graveyard marked *mass extermination*: "Russian actions and statements before and after the February 2022 invasion were closely examined by the New Lines Institute for Strategy and Policy and the Raoul Wallenberg Center for Human Rights. The report provides some very important insights on Putin's 'denazification' claims and raises the interesting concept of Accusation in a Mirror which, it says, 'is a powerful, historically recurring form of incitement to genocide. A perpetrator accuses the targeted group of planning, or having committed, atrocities like those the speaker envisions against them, framing the putative victims as an existential threat makes violence against them appear defensive and necessary. Russian President Vladimir Putin and Russian officials did exactly this, making the utterly false claim that Ukraine had committed genocide or exterminated the civilian population in Russian backed separatist controlled areas, as their pretext for invading Ukraine.' Putin's accusations against Ukraine are very similar to those made by Hitler in a speech the German leader gave at Nuremberg on September 12, 1938, claiming that Sudetenland Germans were 'subject to a slow but steady extermination' by the Czechoslovak Government. The report also detailed how Putin and the Russian state apparatus have used 'denazification' to portray Ukrainians as 'zombified,' 'bestial,' and 'scum,' making them legitimate targets for destruction. " (*Is History Rhyming? The Putin–Hitler Analogy, Ukraine And Euro-Atlantic Security*, CDA Institute, July 1, 2024). **Parallels.** Look at Hitler and Putin and see the ghosts of Munich haunt the corridors of power. See *appeasement* and *surrender* respelled in new ways added to old. See them respelled *Neville Chamberlain's peace for our time*, *no pressure on Putin to make concessions to Ukraine*, *no further sanctions or penalties on Russia*, *Western words of praise for Putin*, *no agreement by Putin for an unconditional ceasefire*, *Putin allowed to set preconditions for peace*, *Putin demands an end to Western military aid to Ukraine, Western intelligence sharing with Ukraine and army mobilization in Ukraine*, and *peace with Ukraine purchased with the coins of capitulation, dishonor and moral degeneracy*: "Judging by some of the headlines today in Russia, Moscow believes that the latest telephone conversation between Presidents Putin and Trump went well--certainly for the Kremlin. 'Putin and Trump agreed to work together on Ukraine resolution,' concludes Izvestia. 'Record long Putin Trump call,' declares *Komsomolskaya Pravda*. The paper's website adds: 'As things stand Russia has scored a diplomatic victory here.' Why are some in Russia claiming victory after this two hour phone call? Probably because, by the end of it, Vladimir Putin hadn't been pressured into making any major concessions to Ukraine or to the United States. On the contrary, he had--in effect--rejected President Trump's idea of an immediate unconditional 30 day ceasefire. Instead of pressuring Moscow with the threat of even tougher sanctions and penalties, to get Russia to sign up to its plan, the US administration reacted by praising the Kremlin leader. 'We had a great call,' Donald Trump told Fox

News. 'I would commend President Putin for all he did today on that call to move his country close to a final peace deal,' said Trump's envoy Steve Witkoff. Not only did Moscow not agree to an unconditional ceasefire, President Putin set his own preconditions for peace. They include an end to Western military aid to Kyiv and intelligence sharing with the Ukrainians, as well as a halt to mobilization in Ukraine. Such conditions are widely viewed as a way of securing Ukraine's capitulation." (*Trump-Putin Call Seen As Victory In Russia* by Steve Rosenberg). **Parallels.** The forces opposed to Ukraine's freedom are the forces which threaten the West today as they did in yesteryear. They are the fascists and the communists, and they compete for power across Europe and America. Forming two sides of the same coin stamped *Marxism*, the fascist, racist, nationalist Marxists support Putin, as do the communist, gender, race and class Marxists. Listen to the communists defend Russian aggression against Ukraine as just, reasonable and deserving of the highest praise and commendation. Russia's crimes against humanity in Ukraine are fabrications of American propaganda. Freedom fighters should support Russia's war against the forces of capitalist annexation represented by Ukraine, NATO, America and the West: "For Melenchon, Putin's illegal annexation of Crimea was not just understandable, but laudable. 'Evidently Crimea is lost for NATO That's good news,' he wrote on his blog in March 2014. Subsequently, Putin was someone to ally with in Syria. And during the 2017 French electoral campaign, it was American 'propaganda' to consider Russia a threat. Even in the days before Putin launched his devastating war, Melenchon offered consistent obfuscations and excuses for Russia: that it was really just all NATO's fault, or that the US 'must not annex Ukraine into NATO.' " (*Jean-Luc Melenchon Is A Disaster For The French Left* by Alexander Hurst). Listen to the fascists proclaim that Putin's genocidal war against Ukraine is a fabrication of Western hate inspired misinformation. The West should lift all sanctions against Russia and take its side in its war to abolish Ukrainian freedom and independence: "[Tucker Carlson] warned the American public not to fall for 'propaganda' that aimed to get them to 'hate Russia.' In 2019, Carlson said the US 'should probably take the side of Russia if we have to choose between Russia and Ukraine.' Carlson said he was 'totally opposed' to Western sanctions and was 'on Russia's side' on multiple occasions." (*What Has Former Fox News Host Tucker Carlson Said On Putin, The War And Ukraine?* by Ollie Cooper). The West's line of appeasement of Russia today has been drawn by the twin movements of communism and fascism. The line is a stylus which describes the establishment and a rifle which has shot freedom into the dustbin of history.

AMERICAN INSTITUTION COLLAPSES

It is the national cultural center of America. Located in Washington DC near the Potomac River, it hosts a wide variety of performance art, from theater to dance to classical music to jazz to pop to folk music, and is the official residence of the National Symphony Orchestra and the Washington National Opera. A half a century after the John F Kennedy Memorial Center for the Performing Arts was opened, it has ceased its traditional operations and descended into the cultural fever swamps of the far left. In the twenty first century, the Kennedy Center has rejected the traditional arts and become an organ of the gender, race and class politics which has swept across the West and buried the legacies of the Enlightenment. On December 2, 1964, President Lyndon Johnson broke ground for the construction of the center. In the new millennium, the center has been razed back to the ground by the bulldozers of far left cultural imperialism, and the center's Grand Foyer, 19 meters high and 190 meters long, the largest room in the world, is not large enough to accommodate all the slogans, performances, productions and shibboleths generated by the far left. The new Kennedy Center in the new millennium is governed by a new manifesto for the production of far left art, and the center's halls, theaters, clubs and stages form an American national culture complex peddling world revolution. A morally bankrupt shadow of its former self, the Kennedy Center in the twenty first century has issued a manifest targeting the values of

liberalism for total destruction, and has disgraced 2700 F Street NW, the address of the iconic building designed by Edward Durell Stone, for all time to come. The manifesto proclaims that a progressive arts center has a radical partisan orientation. A progressive arts center is a marionette of Marxist activists. A progressive arts center advocates the destruction of modern, industrial, technological civilization and the return of the land to the Nacotchtank and Piscataway tribes. A progressive arts center peddles social activist buttons, knapsacks and nose rings. A progressive arts center is a laboratory for experimental ideas and methods of formalist, contentless, meaningless antipainting, antiliterature and antimusic. A progressive arts center is a megaphone for wokeism and proclaims that capitalism is a regime of discrimination against women, nonwhites, gays, lesbians, transgenders, indigenous people and the poor. A progressive arts center promotes the performances of men who adopt feminine costumes, cosmetics and personas and is a rainbow colored platform for degenerate drag queens. A progressive arts center trains its spotlights on the Bolshevik arts and Marxist culture. A progressive arts center advocates gender nonconformity and the impersonation of women as the highest expression of performance art. A progressive arts center is a rich, inexhaustible vein of cultural subversion blazing with drag kings, drag queens, drag vampires and drag workshops robbing the moral life blood from children and drafting them to become drag activists. A progressive arts center is a broadcast tower transmitting gay, lesbian, transgender and queer programs. A progressive arts center promotes racist and sexist hiring and admissions policies which discriminate against white males in the name of achieving diversity, inclusion and a special, higher form of fairness, a form transcending ordinary notions of fairness, a form ordinarily called unfairness, a form called *equity.* A progressive arts center demands that society reject the values and beliefs of the Enlightenment and affirm that human sex and gender have no basis in biological reality and can be changed by human action or belief. A progressive arts center promotes the Marxist doctrines of race and gender fascism. A progressive arts center promotes race and gender editions of Marx's *Das Kapital*. A progressive arts center advocates open borders, multiculturalism and mass migration of Muslim scum. A progressive arts center promotes national opposition to Donald Trump's campaign to make America great again and make America free again, promoting the creed of the political and cultural left in its place. A progressive arts center launches radical left attacks on the liberal democratic order. A progressive arts center advocates a Maoist Cultural Revolution which will plunge civilization into totalitarian tyranny, regimentation, collectivization and insanity: "When President Trump reconfigured the board of the Kennedy Center and made himself chairman, Democrats complained insisting the Center and the board had never had a partisan slant before Trump’s interference. *USA Today* blathered: 'From the outset, the Kennedy Center had bipartisan backing, with its board membership consisting of both Republican and Democratic appointees. Until Trump’s recent intervention.' News alert: Any arts organization or theater group that opens every production with a land acknowledgement statement is a shadow puppet of left wing Democrats. Before the Trump takeover, the Kennedy Center website used to remind visitors that they are 'standing on the traditional land of the Nacotchtank and Piscataway tribes.' This statement is as partisan (Democrat) as blue hair, nose rings, and fifty social activist buttons pinned on a knapsack. The land acknowledgment phenomenon hit Philadelphia and other big cities over ten years ago when several small *avant garde* theaters opened every production with the mantra. The practice quickly spread to larger commercial theaters and then hit the big museums, like the Philadelphia Museum of Art, the Barnes Foundation and the Museum of the American Revolution. It is now standard fare at the American Philosophical Society–a land acknowledgment holdout–founded by Benjamin Franklin in 1743. While the practice hasn’t yet hit the athletic world, you can bet if Kamala Harris had won the presidential election we’d be hearing land acknowledgements before the opening of the World Series. Trump attacked the programs at the Kennedy Center as being 'too woke.' He had a special animus towards the Center’s fixation on drag queens. Why drag queens have become a major cultural industry is anybody’s guess. Most drag

queens are men of mediocre talent who hide behind outrageous costumes and makeup. When Kennedy Center celebrity drag queens like Tara Hoot say the ban on drag queens at the Center 'will hide our history and our stories,' he's only saying that America will not get to hear the stories of how different drag queens came to put on their first dress or walk in their Mom's high heels and then brought it all together in their heads to finally appear on stage. Isn't that just the same story being told over and over? A female impersonator in Vegas doing Judy Garland is one thing; a drag queen with vampire inspired eye shadow reading to children is really a cultural imposition on the level of those (forced) land acknowledgments. The Kennedy Canter's woke productions were a prime example of how the left has radicalized the world of arts and culture. The theater world–easily the mother lode of woke culture–came down hard on Trump's reconfiguration of the Kennedy Center board. This is how recent *Playbill* covered a Kennedy Center protest by leftists in DC: 'The event began at Washington Circle, where one of the organizers, drag king Lord Henry spoke to the crowd: 'We demand that the Kennedy Center Board reinstate queer programming, including but not limited to drag oriented workshops and shows and any other equity and DEI initiatives at the Kennedy Center,' Lord Henry said. 'We want to force Congress to drop the multiple attempts at criminalizing gender nonconformity, including Trump's executive order conflating sex and gender and the attack on drag artists through budget amendments, denying funds to organizations supporting and protecting this queer art form.' The arrogance of phrases like 'We demand' is typical of the left. The mention of 'queer programming' (mainstream gays never refer to themselves as queer), 'conflating sex and gender' (an academic invention: there are only two biological sexes) points to a political polemic as stern as *Das Kapital.*" (*The Kennedy Center Dishonors* by Thom Nickels). Look at the Kennedy Center in the twenty first century and see an American cultural jewel blackened by the fires of a burning civilization. See Marxist drag shows pervert the minds of children. See a campaign of politicization force the arts into Marxist ideological lockstep. See the voices of reason and sanity booed, canceled, shouted down and hurled into the dustbin of history: "President Donald Trump speaks at a board meeting of the Kennedy Center on Monday, March 17. Trump fired several members of the Kennedy Center's board of trustees in February, saying he would make the 'American jewel' great again and noting, 'Just last year, the Kennedy Center featured Drag Shows specifically targeting our youth—THIS WILL STOP.' The president named Richard Grenell as interim executive director. Leftists have decried Trump's moves to depoliticize the Kennedy Center, with actress Issa Rae, *Hamilton* creator L in Lin Manuel Miranda, and others canceling appearances. Drag queens have held protests outside the Kennedy Center after their performances were canceled, and Vice President JD Vance and his family were booed recently when they attended." (*Trump Speaks At Kennedy Center Board Meeting, Vows To 'Fix It Up'*, *Breitbart News*, March 17, 2025). *Messiah* is an oratorio composed in 1741 by George Frideric Handel. Based on the King James Bible and the Coverdale Psalter, it is the most famous and frequently composed choral work in Western music. Every year in December, it is performed in a Sing Along at the Kennedy Arts Center. In the twenty first century, the choir, soloists and orchestra of this classic work have been given a new text to the wild applause of the mob. They sing the songs of radicalism, revolution and destruction of the worlds of art and culture.

BRITAIN'S STATE RELIGION: ISLAM

But idolatry, say some, is a sin, and therefore not to be tolerated. If they said it was therefore to be avoided, the inference would be good. But it does not follow that because it is a sin, it ought therefore to be punished by the magistrate. For it does not belong unto the magistrate to make use of his sword in punishing every thing, indifferently, that he takes to be a sin. Covetousness, uncharitableness, idleness, and many other things are sins, by the consent of all men, which yet no man ever said were to

be punished by the magistrate. The reason is because they are not prejudicial to other men's rights, nor do they break the public peace of societies. Thus speaks John Locke in *A Letter Concerning Toleration*. Published in 1689 and translated into multiple languages, it is a world renowned statement of freedom of conscience championed by the Anglo-Saxon world and the Age of Enlightenment in which Locke lived. Spread to nations far and wide, it is a statement of secularism, the separation between church and state, and would inspire the First Amendment of the United States Constitution, prohibiting the state establishment of religion, and the the secular principle of *laicite*, proclaimed in Article I of the French Constitution. Three centuries after Locke championed this cardinal principle at the basis of a free society, it is under attack in his native land. Three centuries after Englishman and Enlightenment sage John Locke condemned state mandated religions and sought to banish them from England, the forces of illiberalism and intolerance have returned and rendered his nation unrecognizable. Three centuries after Locke sought to safeguard religious pluralism and freedom in the public sphere, new armies of bigotry have commenced as fearsome reinvasion. Three centuries after Locke proclaimed the natural right to liberty of thought and declared war on police officers patrolling the inner precincts of the mind, they have been refunded, rebadged and put back into business in his society, and in an illustration of just how sick the West has become in the twenty first century, they have placed freedom, secularism, the Enlightenment and the idea of England under arrest and behind bars, and have launched a campaign of religious terror across the land. In the twenty first century, the flags of thought control have changed from the days of Locke but the mission behind the new colors has remained the same: the destruction of human reason and its replacement by the rule of blind faith. The new twenty first century enforcers of religion in Britain are Muslims, and their victory over traditional British secular society has been enabled by a far left establishment which has reached out and made common cause with them, and formed a united front against the Britain of John Locke and the Britain of the Enlightenment. Listen to the Marxist manifesto for Britain today and hear an updated version of the *Communist Manifesto*, and hear the death knell sound for Britain and the West. This fearsome new manifesto proclaims that a progressive Britain sets up lights, lanterns, parades and meals in celebration of Ramadan. A progressive Britain features the Royal Family wishing the global armies of Islam, armies reaching across the British Commonwealth, the highest success in their crusades. A progressive Britain features a Muslim London and a Muslim mayor sponsoring the Ramadan Lights of world revolution and proclaiming that the Islamization of the city and the imposition of sharia have made it a better, brighter and more prosperous place to live. A progressive Britain has canceled all Christian holidays and appointed King Charles head of a new Church of Islam. A progressive Britain has placed its Parliament, Ministry of Justice and Sentencing Council under the control of Islam. A progressive Britain sets up a two tier legal system, one for Muslims and one for the rest of the population, and gives Muslims get out of jail free cards in the name protecting ethnic, cultural and faith minorities. A progressive Britain has prohibited all criticisms of Islamic superstitions, savagery and psychopathic violence, and thrown the critics in jail, in the name of fighting antiMuslim hatred and Islamophobia. A progressive Britain has established a Muslim Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government whose task is to settle and house Muslims as a state within a state, a community within a community, with its own government and laws, prohibiting all ideas and beliefs emanating from the West. A progressive Britain harasses the critics of Islam online and offline and protects the freedom of speech of Muslims and Marxists and the freedom of speech of nobody else. A progressive Britain has established an official church of the religion and ideology of Islam. A progressive Britain allows and encourages Muslims to hate nonMuslims as infidels but disallows and discourages nonMuslims from hating the ignorance and violence which Muslims represent. A progressive Britain allows and encourages criticisms of Christianity, Judaism and capitalism on grounds of freedom of speech but disallows and discourages criticisms of Islam and Marxism on grounds of combating hate crimes. A progressive Britain is festooned with Hamas flags,

stampeded by jihadists, sprayed with antisemitic slogans, crowded with fanatical mobs, and teeming with the foul bacteria of antiEnlightenment movements. A progressive Britain is the Western capital of Muslim and Marxist antiliberal thought. A progressive Britain is a sharia court and a prisonhouse which has criminalized the pursuit of reason, science and human rights. A progressive Britain jails the critics of Islam as terrorists and holds them political prisoners for life. A progressive Britain condemns the critics of Islam as public enemies number one. A progressive Britain silences the critics of Muslim rape gangs, Muslim mass migration, Muslim control over families, neighborhoods and cities, and the Islamization of the nation and the West. A progressive Britain is a social, cultural and political dictatorship in the hands of Islam which has legalized Muslim criminality, expelled the critics of Islam and turned back the clock to the year zero: "It's the holiday season again in Britain. The West End of London is bright with lights. Children with lanterns paraded around Leicester Square. At Windsor Castle, more than 360 guests gathered in St George's Hall for a celebratory meal sponsored by King Charles III. Never in the history of Britain has there been such a big, public, official commemoration of the beginning of Ramadan. On social media, the Royal Family issued a statement: 'Wishing all Muslims in the UK, the Commonwealth and around the world a blessed and peaceful Ramadan.' London's Muslim mayor, Sadiq Khan, also commented on the start of Ramadan: 'London was the first city in the Western world to host a Ramadan Lights display. It's a powerful symbol of who we are as Londoners.' Just days later came Ash Wednesday–the start of the Christian season of Lent. As the musician and social commentator Winston Marshall noted, the Royal Family had nothing to say on social media about Lent–even though King Charles is head of the Church of England. As it happens, Mayor Khan did put out a statement at the beginning of Lent. But oops, this one, too, was about Muslims: 'London owes a great debt to Muslims! They have made our community a better, brighter and more prosperous place!' On March 5, the Sentencing Council for England and Wales came out with what could easily be interpreted as a Ramadan gift for the Muslim community. A new set of guidelines, scheduled to take effect in April, recommended that when just convicted criminals are evaluated for sentencing, judges should exhibit an extra measure of mercy toward those belonging to 'an ethnic minority, cultural minority, and/or faith minority community.' In other words, as the Shadow Justice Secretary, Robert Jenrick, complained in the House of Commons, members of minority groups would be less likely to be given a term in prison than other offenders. 'Why is the Justice Secretary enshrining this double standard, this two tier approach to sentencing?' asked Jenrick. But of course a two tier approach to justice, like a two tier approach to policing, has already been enshrined as a standard feature of British society. Perversely, Parliament has no authority to overturn the guidelines of the Sentencing Council, which describes itself on its website as 'an independent, nondepartmental public body' that is 'part of the Ministry of Justice family of arm's length bodies.' That wasn't the British government's only Ramadan gift for Muslims. On February 2–the first day of Ramadan–the Ministry of Housing, Communities and Local Government announced the establishment of a 'working group' tasked with formulating a new official definition of 'AntiMuslim Hatred' and 'Islamophobia.' The ministry's press release stressed the urgency of the matter: in 2024, it stated, 'incidents of antiMuslim hatred' had reached 'the highest number on record.' The press release did not explain what constitutes an incident of antiMuslim hatred. Given that thousands of Brits have been harassed (or worse) by police for criticizing Islam online, 'antiMuslim hatred' presumably includes people exercising what they thought was their freedom of speech in order to tell the objective truth about a very problematic ideology masquerading as a religion. Nor was there any mention of antiinfidel hatred by Muslims. This was curious, seeing that in 2024 this kind of hatred was on display virtually every weekend in Britain, where the streets of major cities were crowded with unruly jihad enthusiasts waving Hamas flags and shouting the vilest of antisemitic slogans." (*Tommy Robinson's Ramadan* by Bruce Bawer). *That church can have no right to be tolerated by the magistrate which is so constituted that all who enter it*

necessarily pass into the allegiance and service of another prince. Thus speaks John Locke in *A Letter Concerning Toleration*. "That church" to which Locke alludes was the Catholic Church, and Locke wrote the letter in response to a rising movement of the church led by King James II to suppress Whigs and Liberals, take over English laws and institutions, and abolish secular society. In the twenty first century, the Catholic imperialism which threatened Locke's day has been replaced by Islamic imperialism, and the sign of the Islamic Crescent Moon and Star, together with the sign of the Marxist Red Star, are written across the ruins of civilization.

THE MANY FRIENDS OF MARXISM

A phobia is an anxiety disorder defined by an irrational fear of an object. People with phobias go to great lengths to avoid the object, and if it cannot be avoided, they experience distress, fainting and panic attacks. The most common phobias are fear of spiders, fear of snakes, fear of heights and fear of public places. A phobia which is not addressed and eliminated can result in suicide. People with phobias are governed by the belief that the object is evil, and the day they are disabused of this belief is the day their phobias disappear. The forces of the far left, in control of the Western establishment for decades, have populated the landscape with their idols. These idols are ideologies which have declared war on the values of the Enlightenment. These idols are Marx's children, the faces of cultural regression and soldiers of a movement whose mission is to turn back the clock to the year zero. Every Marxist ideology represents a movement of destruction of liberal society, and is one visage of a multiheaded Medusa called *Gender, Race and Class Politics*, a monster so hideous that it can scarcely be looked at, studied or believed. Its war on the Enlightenment has turned civilization into stone. The idols in the Marxist universe are exalted as the essence of goodness and all opposition to them is condemned with the term *phobia.* Opposition to things Marxists hate is lauded and never condemned as a symptom of phobia. Marxists hate Hitler and never condemn hatred of Hitler as Hitlerophobia. Marxists hate the Ku Klux Klan and never condemn hatred of the Klan as klanophobia. Marxist hate capitalism and never condemn hatred of capitalism as capitalophobia. Marxists hate individual rights and never condemn hatred of individual rights as rightsophobia. Marxists hate the notion of objective, universal truth, truth held to be independent of gender, race and class, and never condemn hatred of this notion as truthophobia. The Western establishment condemns as hate speech all criticism directed at Marxism's many friends, directed at Islam, black supremacy, indigenous rights, and gender, race and class politics, but lauds all criticism directed at capitalism, liberal values and controlled, vetted immigration, and defends all such criticism in the name of freedom of speech. In the world of the West today, freedom of speech is extended to those whose speech is politically correct and is withdrawn from those speech is politically incorrect. **Islamophobia.** Marxists support Islam. They support women being forced to wear hijabs. They support laws banning the possession of pictures of Muslim women not wearing their hijabs if the pictures were taken without the women's permission. They support laws jailing people possessing such images. They support creating the nonconsensual photographying of Muslim women not wearing hijabs a criminal offense punishable by jail. They support laws banning mug shots of Muslim terrorist women not wearing their full face covering. They support laws banning blasphemy against Islam. They support laws banning all criticism of Muslim intolerance, violence and aggressive behavior. They support laws banning the defense of Western liberal values against an alien religion from the East shrouded in the desert sands of moral and political subversion: "Leftist lawmakers in Britain have argued that being in possession of pictures of Muslim women without their hijab headscarves should be a crime akin to child pornography. Impending legislation originally intended to crack down on revenge porn should include the prohibition against having images of Muslim women’s faces without their hijab if the photos were taken without their express permission. The Women and

Equalities Committee in the House of Commons argued that such pictures should be considered 'nonconsensual intimate images' and, therefore, come with similar penalties as possessing child pornography, including lengthy prison sentences, the *Daily Mail* reported. If government ministers sign off the committee's proposal, it could become a crime in Britain as early as this year. Responding to the call to criminalize some pictures of Muslim women without hijabs, David Spencer of the Policy Exchange think tank said: 'Tackling the problem of revenge porn is clearly important–but expanding this to socalled culturally intimate images risks extending the criminal law too far. The police cannot be expected to wade into socalled cultural issues when officers are already struggling to deal with the volume of stabbings, sexual assaults and thefts that occur every day. The Government should be cautious about creating yet more criminal offenses.' Remarkably, the proposed rule is already in a state of de facto enforcement in the United Kingdom, as illustrated by a recent case of an English police force withdrawing the mugshot of an Islamic State terrorist and reissuing it with her wearing a full face covering after a court challenge. It also comes as the Labor government is considering enshrining a contentious and some warn dangerous definition of socalled Islamophobia, which opponents have argued would provide special protections under the law to Muslims and create a back door blasphemy law in Britain. The Labor Party itself has already adopted the definition of Islamophobia set out in 2018 by the All-Party Parliamentary Group (APPG) report on British Muslims. Labor's plans to institute the APPG's definition have even been criticized by Fiyaz Mughal, who said in January: 'Any definition needs to have a number of caveats attached which protect the right of individuals to question religion, to question certain behaviors attached to cultural elements and the right to have free speech defended in relation to blasphemy. Bad behavior wherever it is, in whichever religious group, needs to be called out. This does not mean that all Muslim or all Pakistani men are bad. But when there is a concentration of issues within a certain group, we must hold the line in defense of our core values.' " (*Veiled Threat: Possessing Pics Of Muslim Women Without Hijabs Should Be Made A Crime, UK Lawmakers Say* by Kurt Zindulka). **Transphobia.** Marxists support transgenderism. They support a religion which denies the fixed, immutable, biological reality of human gender and proclaims that gender can be changed by human action or belief. They support allowing psychotic men who identify as women to enter women's only spaces. They seek to jail people who criticize the transgenderist denial of biological reality on the grounds that such people are guilty of making insults and provocations, and committing acts of hatred and violence. They support allowing psychotic men who identify as women to use women's facilities in order to protect such men from scorn by men who are mentally well. They support acts of violence committed by transgender activists and members of Antifa against those who acknowledge reason, science and the genetic basis of sex determination: "Three French LGBT activist groups have filed a criminal complaint against feminists Dora Moutot and Marguerite Stern over comments on allowing transgenders into women's only spaces, such as bathrooms. The complaint revolves around a manifesto coauthored by Moutot and Stern, who are both well known feminists critical of the transgender movement in France and make their views known on their own blogs. The associations *STOP homophobia*, *Mousse* and *Famille LGBT+* released a statement Tuesday indicating that they had filed a criminal complaint against the pair for 'insults and public provocations to hatred or violence' against transgender people. The groups listed several passages from the manifesto including one which states, 'Why should trans women's fear of being mocked in men's bathrooms take precedence over women's fear of being raped?' The criminal complaint comes after a number of clashes between feminists or lesbians and transgender activists in France in recent years. In April of 2021, French lesbians denounced sexual assaults from transgender activists with the far left scene in France, only to have members of the far left Antifa extremist group label the women 'transphobic.' " (*French LGBT Activists File Criminal Complaint Against 'Transphobic' Feminists* by Chris Tomlinson). **Hate Speech.** The world of the West today is a world of Marxist deceit, agitation, propaganda and the rewriting of history.

It is a world of Marxist belligerency, subversion and class war. It is a world in which people with nonwhite skin color are granted preferential treatment. It is a world which exalts socialist slavery as a system of freedom and fairness. It is a world in which people's minds, bodies, land and personal property belong to everyone and belong to the state. It is a world which condemns capitalism as rampant corruption, legalized theft, an Evil Queen and a poisoned apple. It is a world of nonstop speeches, songs and films advocating the politics of the sewers and a tidal wave of world revolution. It is a world of high temperature manifestos, communist manifestos, neocommmuist manifestos, gender, race and class manifestos, and Islamic manifestos, condemning the critics of their creeds as purveyors of hate speech and demanding their expulsion from society: "What's so unique about Disney's *Snow White* is that it seems as if some of the producers wanted to make an old fashioned tribute to a feudal fairy tale, and the others wanted to make a revisionist, Marxist call to arms. Rather than settling on one option or the other, the producers apparently compromised by making both versions at once, so the results are like a mind boggling mash up of two different films. For the first few scenes, what we get is the subversive version. In an overlong opening sequence, we hear that Snow White (Zegler) isn't named after her skin color, as the traditional story would have it, but after the blizzard that was blowing when she was born. It's not entirely clear why the King and Queen chose to name their daughter in honor of the weather, but considering she could have been called Drizzle or Gusty Wind, she should probably count herself lucky. The exposition continues with speeches and songs about the days when Snow White's benign parents ruled 'a kingdom for the free and the fair.' where 'the bounty of the land belonged to all who tended it.' This has to be the closest a Disney princess film has got to paraphrasing *The Communist Manifesto*. There are more of these radical ideas when Snow White's mother dies, and the King marries a woman who becomes the Evil Queen (Gadot). She warns her subjects of 'a terrible threat beyond the southern kingdom,' and then exploits their fears to nab the realm's riches for herself. With that, Disney's *Snow White* becomes one of the year's most bluntly political films–Disney or otherwise." (*Disney's Snow White Has A Major 'Identity Crisis'* by Nicholas Barber). March 17, 1883. Highgate Cemetery in London. The body of Karl Marx is buried at a funeral attended by nine mourners. Mankind's preeminent crusader on behalf of envy, hate, subversion and revolution has died and left behind a single friend, his collaborator and bankroller, Friedrich Engels. In the two succeeding two centuries, Marx has acquired an everexpanding universe of friends. The friends of Karl Marx run the Western establishment and throw raw meat into the jaws of the masses. Their targets are the voices of freedom vanishing by the hour.

REDS ABDUCT AMERICA'S CHILDREN

November 18, 1978. Jonestown, Guyana. Population: 1000. The inhabitants drink lethal cyanide punch or are shot to death on orders from their leader, Jim Jones. One third of the inhabitants are children. People scream and tremble. Within five minutes, they are dead and Jonestown is empty. Exalting Vladimir Lenin, Joseph Stalin, Adolf Hitler and Mao Zedong as his greatest heroes, and voicing ardent public support for the Communist Party USA, Jones had established the People's Temple in Indiana in 1955. Proclaiming that "those who remain drugged with the opiate of old time religion must be brought to enlightenment with socialism," he proceeded to establish a commune in his People's Temple, which in communist fashion was patrolled by armed guards day and night and would not allow people to leave without permission from the leader. In 1975, Jones moved his Temple to Guyana, attracted to the socialist state created by Prime Minister Forbes Burnham. His aim was to replicate the nightmare worlds created by his heroes and he was praised by Burnham for his efforts: "I believe we're the purest communists there are. Burnham couldn't rave enough about us, the wonderful things we do, the project, the model of socialism." (*Transcript of Recovered FBI Tape Q 833*). The inhabitants of Jonestown were

subjected to communist mind control and behavior modification techniques inspired by Mao Zedong and Kim Il Sung, and to round the clock propaganda blasts from Radio Moscow and Radio Havana. On November 18, 1978, Jones ordered the people of Jonestown to kill themselves in order to free themselves from the misery of capitalism and step over into a communist paradise beyond this world: "Die with a degree of dignity. Lay down your life with dignity; don't lay down with tears and agony. I tell you, I don't care how many screams you hear, I don't care how many anguished cries. Death is a million times preferable to 10 more days of this life. If you knew what was ahead of you--if you knew what was ahead of you, you'd be glad to be stepping over tonight." The corpses at Jonestown are terrifying testaments to the communist jackboot of fear and control which claimed the lives of millions of people throughout the world in a global empire of evil the likes of which had never been seen before. A half a century after Jonestown was overrun with mass hysteria as parents watched their children die, the spirit of Jim Jones is alive and well and hard at work in a land which once was the leader of the free world. A half a century after people in Jonestown walked around in a trance and waited for their turn to die, the forces of the far left have shown the world just how sick the West is. They have taken over the public school system in the United States of America and subjected young people to a course of political indoctrination which has crippled and killed their minds. In the twenty first century, Jim Jones, his People's Temple and the former Communist Bloc have descended on America, abducted its children, ordered them to commit mental suicide, served them Kool Aid marked the *Communist Manifesto* and the *NeoCommunist Manifesto*, and prepared them for a life of crime and violence. Look at the manifesto of America's public schools and see the abolition of liberal education and the rejection of the Enlightenment. The new antiliberal manifesto proclaims that a progressive school system indoctrinates students in antiAmerican, antiWestern ideologies without the knowledge or consent of parents. A progressive school system is an ideological echo chamber pounding the minds of students with slogans and dogmas which they are forced to accept without question, discussion or examination of any kind. A progressive school system prohibits all criticism of Marxist gender, race and politics. A progressive school system condemns capitalism as a regime of oppression of women, nonwhites and the poor. A progressive school system rejects the critical thinking at the basis of science and promotes blind acceptance of religious dogmas. A progressive school system promotes the religion of transgenderism, according to which human gender has no relation to biological reality, to the existence of sex chromosomes, and can be changed by human action or belief. A progressive school system teaches psychotic children that they were born in the wrong body and should change their gender. A progressive school system teaches psychotic children who think they are members of the opposite sex that reality and their parents are to blame for their misery and both must be destroyed. A progressive school system teaches that psychotic children who think they are members of the opposite sex should undergo surgical and chemical mutilation without their parents' knowledge or consent. A progressive school system allows psychotic male students who think they are members of the opposite sex to gain access to women's facilities such as bathrooms and shower rooms. A progressive school system condemns capitalism as a regime of white privilege. A progressive school system condemns all whites as harboring unconscious biases and hatred of blacks. A progressive school system foments gender, race and class wars, and advocates that women, nonwhites and the poor storm the ramparts of constitutional government. A progressive school system rejects the liberal principles of equal protection and opportunity and advocates policies of racial discrimination and the granting to blacks the legal status of a master race: "Parents trust America's schools to provide their children with a rigorous education and to instill a patriotic admiration for our incredible Nation and the values for which we stand. In recent years, however, parents have witnessed schools indoctrinate their children in radical, antiAmerican ideologies while deliberately blocking parental oversight. Such an environment operates as an echo chamber, in which students are forced to accept these ideologies without question or critical

examination. In many cases, innocent children are compelled to adopt identities as either victims or oppressors solely based on their skin color and other immutable characteristics. In other instances, young men and women are made to question whether they were born in the wrong body and whether to view their parents and their reality as enemies to be blamed. These practices not only erode critical thinking but also sow division, confusion, and distrust, which undermine the very foundations of personal identity and family unity. Imprinting antiAmerican, subversive, harmful, and false ideologies on our Nation's children not only violates longstanding antidiscrimination civil rights law in many cases, but usurps basic parental authority. For example, steering students toward surgical and chemical mutilation without parental consent or involvement or allowing males access to private spaces designated for females may contravene Federal laws that protect parental rights, including the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) and the Protection of Pupil Rights Amendment (PPRA), and sex based equality and opportunity, including Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 (Title IX). Similarly, demanding acquiescence to 'White Privilege' or 'unconscious bias,' actually promotes racial discrimination and undermines national unity." (*Ending Radical Indoctrination in K-12 Schooling*, Executive Order, Donald Trump, January 29, 2025). America's schools proclaim that a progressive society is a racist and sexist society which grants preferential treatment to blacks and women in the name of a special, higher form of fairness, a form transcending ordinary notions of fairness, a form ordinarily referred to as unfairness, a form called *equity.* A progressive society rejects the liberal principle which treats individuals as individuals, and treats them instead as members of groups. A progressive society rejects the liberal principle of merit and ability and grants preferential treatment to people on the basis of their race, gender or national origin. A progressive society affirms that males are inherently sexist and oppress women, and whites are inherently racist and oppress blacks. A progressive society affirms that women form a master gender, blacks form a master race, and these two groups have a natural and moral right to deprive white males of their freedom and dignity. A progressive society affirms that group responsibility overrides individual culpability, and all whites today should feel guilty and ashamed for being white, because of the past enslavement of blacks by whites, and all males today should feel guilty and ashamed for being male, because of past discrimination against women. A progressive society is a racist and sexist discriminatory society which prevents white males from enjoying human rights, and justifies its illegal, immoral regime in the name of achieving diversity and inclusion. A progressive society affirms that America, by virtue of being a constitutionally grounded, liberal democracy, is a lash of racism and sexism against blacks and women. A progressive society rejects the liberal values of truth, objectivity, fairness and neutrality, and individual work, talent, ability, excellence and merit, and promotes a Hitler regime granting special status to women and blacks, and advocates an antiEnlightenment revolution to turn back the clock to the year zero: "*Discriminatory equity ideology* means an ideology that treats individuals as members of preferred or disfavored groups, rather than as individuals, and minimizes agency, merit, and capability in favor of immoral generalizations, including that: (i) Members of one race, color, sex, or national origin are morally or inherently superior to members of another race, color, sex, or national origin; (ii) An individual, by virtue of the individual's race, color, sex, or national origin, is inherently racist, sexist, or oppressive, whether consciously or unconsciously; (iii) An individual's moral character or status as privileged, oppressing, or oppressed is primarily determined by the individual's race, color, sex, or national origin; (iv) Members of one race, color, sex, or national origin cannot and should not attempt to treat others without respect to their race, color, sex, or national origin; (v) An individual, by virtue of the individual's race, color, sex, or national origin, bears responsibility for, should feel guilt, anguish, or other forms of psychological distress because of, should be discriminated against, blamed, or stereotyped for, or should receive adverse treatment because of actions committed in the past by other members of the same race, color, sex, or national origin, in which the individual played no part;

(vi) An individual, by virtue of the individual's race, color, sex, or national origin, should be discriminated against or receive adverse treatment to achieve diversity, equity, or inclusion; (vii) Virtues such as merit, excellence, hard work, fairness, neutrality, objectivity, and racial colorblindness are racist or sexist or were created by members of a particular race, color, sex, or national origin to oppress members of another race, color, sex, or national origin; or (viii) the United States is fundamentally racist, sexist, or otherwise discriminatory." (*Ending Radical Indoctrination in K-12 Schooling*, Executive Order, Donald Trump, January 29, 2025). In the prison state called the People's Temple, children were placed into communal care, were not allowed to see their biological parents, and called Jim Jones Father and Dad. In the twenty first century, the Father is back in business in the public schools of America, and has composed a curriculum centered on political indoctrination.

MARX AND RAWLS VERSUS FREEDOM

They will wear these words engraved on their uniforms: THE FRENCH PEOPLE: LIBERTY, EQUALITY, FRATERNITY. The same words will be inscribed on flags which bear the three colors of the nation. Thus speaks Maximilien Robespierre, world famous voice of the French Revolution, on December 5, 1790 in his speech *On The Organization Of The French National Guard*. *Liberty, Fraternity and Equality*: the foremost slogan of the French Revolution and the motto of the French Republic of the present day, appearing on all government documents. *Liberty, Fraternity And Equality*: a slogan galvanizing socialists the world over because it proclaimed the subordination of human rights leading to the imposition of slavery. *Liberty, Fraternity And Equality*: a slogan proclaiming the sacrifice of *Liberty* to *Fraternity* and *Equality*, the sacrifice of individual rights to the state and community, the sacrifice of human existence to the collective good, which has animated the haters of mankind crawling in the moral sewers of civilization for thousands of years. The principle of the slogan appears in the words of the most famous and influential philosopher of human slavery in the history of mankind. In the devil's gospel of Karl Marx, *Liberty, Fraternity And Equality* appear as the principle, *From Each According To His Abilities, To Each According To His Needs*, proclaiming the right of the collective to own, tax, take from and expropriate the individual and redistribute the individual's wealth. In the twentieth century, the slogan animated the thought of the most influential political philosopher of the century, a philosopher whose works continue to impact the new millennium. His contributions are described by Will Kymlicka in *Contemporary Political Philosophy: An Introduction*: "It is generally accepted that the recent rebirth of normative political philosophy began with the publication of John Rawls' *A Theory Of Justice*." From the ideas of Marx to those of Rawls to those of the present day runs a straight line, a line of totalitarian tyranny, a line diametrically opposed to the creed of the Enlightenment, expressed in the immortal words of William Blackstone: "The individual has an absolute right to his life, his liberty and his property." Listen to the twentieth century voice of Marx, listen to a professor esteemed by the Western establishment, recipient of the 1999 National Humanities Medal and the 1999 Schock Prize for Logic and Philosophy in America, and hear the muskets of the French Revolution fire alongside the rifles of the Red Army. Listen to Rawls advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose in a state of absolute equality. Listen to him advocate socialism in the name of helping the not so fortunate. Listen to him advocate socialism in the name of helping those less well situated. Listen to him advocate socialism in the name of helping the least advantaged members of society. Listen to him advocate socialism on the grounds that in individual's intelligence and ability are not parts of his identity but are extraneous to it. Listen to him proclaim that an individual's intelligence and ability are mere accidents and contingencies of his existence, and are purely arbitrary, and have no relation to who he is. Listen to him proclaim that people born with superior intelligence and abilities do not deserve to possess these endowments because they were born with them and did not work to

possess them, and thus do not deserve to possess the fruits of their endowments. Listen to him advocate socialism because it is a system popular with the scum of the earth: "I shall maintain that the persons in the initial situation [equality] would choose two rather different principles: the first requires equality in the assignment of basic rights and duties, while the second holds that social and economic inequalities, for example inequalities of wealth and authority, are just only if they result in compensating benefits for everyone, and in particular for the least advantaged members of society. These principles rule out justifying institutions on the grounds that the hardships of some are offset by a greater good in the aggregate. It may be expedient but it is not just that some should have less in order that others may prosper. But there is no injustice in the greater benefits earned by a few provided that the situation of persons not so fortunate is thereby improved. The intuitive idea is that since everyone's well being depends upon a scheme of cooperation without which no one could have a satisfactory life, the division of advantages should be such as to draw forth the willing cooperation of everyone taking part in it, including those less well situated. The two principles mentioned seem to be a fair basis on which those better endowed, or more fortunate in their social position, neither of which we can be said to deserve, could expect the willing cooperation of others when some workable scheme is a necessary condition of the welfare of all. Once we decide to look for a conception of justice that prevents the use of the accidents of natural endowment and the contingencies of social circumstance as counters in a quest for political and economic advantage, we are led to these principles. They express the result of leaving aside those aspects of the social world that seem arbitrary from a moral point of view." (*A Theory Of Justice* by John Rawls, Chapter I). Listen to John Rawls advocate socialism on the grounds that people advantaged by the possession of superior intelligence or ability did not work to possess their natural advantages. Listen to him advocate socialism on the grounds that it is the system individuals would choose in a hypothetical original position in which they had no information whatever about themselves, their talents, intelligence, abilities or circumstances: "One should not be misled by the somewhat unusual conditions which characterize the original position. The idea here is simply to make vivid to ourselves the restrictions that it seems reasonable to impose on arguments for principles of justice, and therefore on these principles themselves. Thus it seems reasonable and generally acceptable that no one should be advantaged or disadvantaged by natural fortune or social circumstances in the choice of principles. It also seems widely agreed that it should be impossible to tailor principles to the circumstances of one's own case. We should insure further that particular inclinations and aspirations, and persons' conceptions of their good do not affect the principles adopted. The aim is to rule out those principles that it would be rational to propose for acceptance, however little the chance of success, if only one knew certain things that are irrelevant from the standpoint of justice. For example, if a man knew that he was wealthy, he might find it rational to advance the principle that various taxes for welfare measures be counted unjust; if he knew that he was poor, he would most likely propose the contrary principle. To represent the desired restrictions one imagines a situation in which everyone is deprived of this sort of information. One excludes the knowledge of those contingencies which sets men at odds and allows them to be guided by their prejudices. In this manner the veil of ignorance is arrived at in a natural way. This concept should cause no difficulty if we keep in mind the constraints on arguments that it is meant to expre`ss. At any time we can enter the original position, so to speak, simply by following a certain procedure, namely, by arguing for principles of justice in accordance with these restrictions." (*A Theory Of Justice* by John Rawls, Chapter I). Listen to John Rawls advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know their natural circumstances or specific contingencies in life. Listen to him advocate socialism as system individuals would choose on the basis of general considerations. Listen to him advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know any particular facts about themselves. Listen to him advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know their actual place, station, status or class position in

society. Listen to him advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know their own fortunes, assets, abilities, strengths or intelligence. Listen to him advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know their own psychology, character, personality, values or temperament. Listen to him advocate socialism as a system individuals would choose who did not know the economic, political or cultural circumstances of their own society: "The idea of the original position is to set up a fair procedure so that any principles agreed to will be just. The aim is to use the notion of pure procedural justice as a basis of theory. Somehow we must nullify the effects of specific contingencies which put men at odds and tempt them to exploit social and natural circumstances to their own advantage. Now in order to do this I assume that the parties are situated behind a veil of ignorance. They do not know how the various alternatives will affect their own particular case and they are obliged to evaluate principles solely on the basis of general considerations. It is assumed, then, that the parties do not know certain kinds of particular facts. First of all, no one knows his place in society, his class position or social status; nor does he know his fortune in the distribution of natural assets and abilities, his intelligence and strength, and the like. Nor, again, does anyone know his conception of the good, the particulars of his rational plan of life, or even the special features of his psychology such as his aversion to risk or liability to optimism or pessimism. More than this, I assume that the parties do not know the particular circumstances of their own society." (*A Theory Of Justice* by John Rawls, Chapter III). On July 14, 1789, a Paris mob stormed and seized control over a prison built during the Dark Ages known as the Bastille. After four hours of fighting and 94 deaths, the mob entered this fearsome symbol of royal authority located in the center of the city. Thus commenced the French Revolution, and its mob's rifles fired the shots of *Liberty, Fraternity and Equality* heard around the world. In the nineteenth century, they were heard by German diabolus Karl Marx as he planned the communist regimes which would terrorize free nations. In the twentieth century, they were heard by American academician John Rawls as he wrote his treatise which would inspire socialists up to the present day and call them to arms.

EUROPE ON LIFE SUPPORT

The fifteenth century, the end of the Dark Ages and the onset of Early Modern Europe. The glories of human achievement would henceforth shower the earth. The invention of gunpowder and the printing press. The Age of Enlightenment, which created a palace of reason, science, empiricism, individual rights, constitutional government, technological progress and separation between church and state. The Age of Discovery, which saw European states establish global empires of freedom. The Industrial Revolution, which brought manufacturing processes, capital accumulation and lightning speed urbanization. The Age of Revolution, which saw the abolition of absolute monarchies and the spread of freedom across Europe and the Americas. Then comes the twentieth century and the beginning of the end of Europe. The free nations of the continent dismantle their colonial empires and descend into welfare state moral degeneracy. They are unable to defend the cause of freedom in World Wars I and II without help from America. They are unable to defend the cause of freedom against the onslaught of communism and the Iron Curtain during the Cold War without help from America. They are unable to defend the cause of freedom against the onslaught of Islam, or fight the War on Terror, without help from America. They bring on the European Debt Crisis of 2008 caused by profligate welfare spending, which leads to the Great Recession. They bring on the European Migrant Crisis caused by mass movements of migrants from Syria, Afghanistan, Pakistan, Iraq, Nigeria and Eritrea numbering in the millions, allowed to settle on the continent under the Marxist umbrella of multiculturalism. They renounce their cultural identity as the birthplace of freedom in the modern world. They are unable to repel the Russian invasion of Ukraine launched on February 24, 2022 or stop the onslaught of Russian

imperialism. Look at Europe in the twenty first century and see the bankrupt last act of a one thousand year old drama commencing in 1000 AD, a drama scaling heights of human advancement, and making a one hundred year descent, commencing in the twentieth century, into the fever swamps of tyranny and antienlightenment. Look at Europe today and see a continent take advantage of American generosity and give nothing back in return. See a continent reject the value of free speech which Europe gave to the world, and establish a regime of online and offline censorship of opinions condemned as politically incorrect. See a continent spend miniscule amounts on defense outlays, well below the minimum required, and expect America to fight a newly expansionist Russia risen from the grave of Cold War defeat. See a continent refuse to do its share to fund NATO, and expect America to fund this noble transatlantic alliance to defend freedom. See a continent unable to adequately fund intelligence gathering, aerial squadrons, naval fleets or nuclear weapons in the fight to defend freedom, and expect America to do so. See a continent unable to fund a fighting force of battle ready boots on the ground, and expect American troops, 100,000 strong, to defend freedom. See a continent fund welfare programs and socialist services and refuse to fund an adequate military to defend itself, and expect America to do so. See a continent unable to reopen shipping lines in the Suez Canal closed by Houthi rebels in Yemen backed by Iran, and expect America to do so. See a continent unable to defend freedom of international navigation from Islamic terror attacks, and expect America to do so. See a continent unable to corner a rabid, predatory Russian beast, and expect America to do so. See a continent whose ships America defends, whose oil and gas America carries, and whose cities and streets America watches over. See a continent rely on America to bail it out of military difficulties. See a continent expect America to be responsible for its defense and to subsidize it. See a continent expect America to be the primary guardian of its freedom and to fill this role forever. See a continent expect America to be NATO and NATO to be America, and the entity NATO-America to serve as the cornerstone of European security for all time to come. See a continent refuse to invest in military defense and refuse to take defense seriously, and expect America to come to its rescue in the event of an attack by Russia. See a continent rely solely on the military might of America and refuse to adequately arm itself, and render itself exposed to rogue regimes throughout the world. See a continent cancel its defense ministries and allocate one hundred percent of its national budgets to supporting a sewer stratum of idle, shiftless, domestic parasites together with families of Islamic terror let in through its gates from abroad. See a continent with near zero defense spending expect America to fund its defense while it refuses to allow America to export goods to its market. See a continent refuse to pay its fair share to defend freedom, the very freedom the continent gave to mankind, and expect America to support civilization on its shoulders: " 'Horrific to see in black and white. But hardly surprising,' is how a top European diplomat reacted to what comes across as deep, heartfelt disdain for European allies, revealed late on Monday, European time, in on online group chat between top US security officials. Seemingly by accident, *Atlantic* magazine editor in chief Jeffrey Goldberg was also invited to the chat, which discussed planned strikes on Houthi rebels in Yemen aimed at unblocking trade routes on the Suez Canal. He subsequently made the frank exchange public. In the chat, Vice President JD Vance notes that only 3% of US trade runs through the canal, as opposed to 40% of European trade, after which he and Defence Secretary Pete Hegseth complain of European 'free loading.' The monumental security breach is causing a ruckus at home, with Democrats calling for Hegseth's resignation as a result. Across the pond--aka the Atlantic Europe's leaders and policymakers felt 'sick to their stomach.' as an EU official put it to me. Officials quoted here are speaking on condition of anonymity in order to comment freely on what are volatile times in US-European relations. You won't see comments in the public domain, so as not to rock the transatlantic boat any further. Vance first stunned European officials with his speech at last month's Security Conference in Munich condemning the continent for having misplaced values such as protecting abortion clinics and censoring speech in the media and

online. 'The enemy from within,' he called it. Monday's Signal chat strikes at the heart of a slew of tensions, discomfort and plain old fear in Europe right now, that the Trump administration can no longer be relied on as the continent's greatest ally. At a time when Europe is facing off against a resurgent Russia. Western Europe has looked to the US to have its back in terms of security and defense since World War Two. But it is precisely that fact that so riles the Trump administration and has cemented Europe in its mind as 'freeloaders.' While the US commits 3.7% of its colossal GDP to defense, it's taken the majority of European partners in the transatlantic defense alliance Nato until recently to cough up even 2% of GDP. Some, like big economies Spain and Italy, aren't even there yet, though they say they plan to be soon. Europe relies heavily on the US, among other things, for intelligence, for aerial defense capabilities and for its nuclear umbrella. With the phasing out of conscription in most European countries, the continent also relies on the around 100,000 battle ready US troops stationed in Europe to help act as a deterrent against potential aggressors. Europeans have focused more on investing in welfare and social services than defense--collective or otherwise--since the collapse of the Soviet Union and the end of the Cold War. Why on earth should the US pick up the slack, asks the Trump administration. On the leaked group chat, National Security Adviser Michael Waltz laments the state of Europe's naval forces. 'It will have to be the United States that reopens these [Suez] shipping lanes.' The chat then debates how to ensure that Europe remunerates the US for its actions. 'If the US successfully restores freedom of navigation at great cost there needs to be some further economic gain extracted in return,' states a message from someone called SM--presumed to be deputy White House chief of staff Stephen Miller. Europe is now loudly and publicly discussing spending a lot more on its own defense--hoping to keep Donald Trump onside and an aggressive Russia at bay after Ukraine." (*Disdain For Europe In US Signal Chat Horrifies EU* by Katya Adler). At 9 AM in February 1947, the clock of history struck doomsday for Europe and rang out the end of an age. At this hour, the British ambassador to America, Archibald Kerr, handed the United States Secretary of State, George Marshall, diplomatic messages printed on blue paper to show their vital importance. These messages stated that Britain was no longer able to support the Greek government in its fight against communist insurgents. Europe was now in danger of falling under Soviet control. Britain was a picture of Europe: morally and fiscally bankrupt and exhausted. Britain would next abandon Palestine to the armies of Islam and leave the Jewish population at the mercy of terror, would abandon India to Hindu and Islamic tyranny, and abandon Egypt to Arab Hitlerites. America stepped into the power vacuum created by Britain, the former leader of the Western world, and proclaimed the Truman Doctrine. The words of President Harry Truman guaranteed the security of the free world: "It must be the policy of the United States to support free peoples who are resisting attempted subjugation by armed minorities or by outside pressure." The leadership of the free world passed from Europe to America. The birthplace of the West lay helpless and prostrate, a Marxist, multiculturalist, welfare state basket case, permanently ground into dust.

AMERICA'S CONSTITUTION DIES

A coffin is a box in which the dead are buried. The earliest evidence of coffins exists in China, and coffin remains have been found in Shaanxi, Banpo and at the ancient Dawenkou culture site in Shandong. The earliest remains date back to 5000 BC and indicate wooden coffins sealed by special substances. In the twenty first century, in the nation which once led the Western world, a coffin has been sealed and buried. In the twenty first century, in a terrifying illustration of just how sick Western civilization has become, an institution has been laid to rest in the United States of America. This institution was born in the early age of England and enunciated during the Age of Enlightenment, and bears all the hallmarks of those shining ages. The foundational document of America, this institution

champions the rights, freedoms and dignity of the individual and sets forth, in its first ten amendments, known as the Bill of Rights, the fundamental limitations on the government establishing a free society. The supreme law of the land, it was written by the 1215 *Magna Carta*, and was written during the Enlightenment by such lights as Montesquieu, John Locke, Edward Coke and William Blackstone, and has inspired nations of the world far and wide in their quest for freedom for over two centuries. In the twenty first century, the United States Constitution has been buried, and buried by the institution sworn to enforce it, and relegated to a museum gallery of cultural irrelevance. In the twenty first century, the United States Supreme Court, transformed into a marionette moved by mob pressure, and into a weather vane controlled by the spirit of the times, has placed the final nails in the coffin of the Constitution and lowered it into the darkness. Three nails were hammered in the twentieth century and two nails were hammered in the present century, and these five nails have sealed the coffin shut for all time to come. They were hammered by the people and the zeitgeist, by forces acting through high court judges who disgraced their robes and were judges in name only, and these nails are testaments to the illusory character of constitutions and the rule of law when confronted by the emergence of a new ethos rejecting the Anglo-Saxon and Enlightenment values of reason and freedom. These nails bear witness to the meaninglessness of judicial review when standing in the path of a cultural juggernaut promoting the antiAnglo-Saxon and antiEnlightenment creeds of religion, mysticism and the primacy of the state. Standing in the path of this juggernaut, judicial review is mauled apart and thrown to the winds. The juggernaut has been voted into power and fueled by the majority. The Supreme Court is its judicial voice in America. Look at the nails the court has hammered into the coffin of the Constitution since the early years of the twentieth century and see the burial of America and the West. ***West Coast Hotel versus Parris*h, 1937**. Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the notion of due process of law does mean what it means. It means that the state cannot deprive an individual of his freedom without a fair or just procedure, which means without proof that the individual committed harm to another person. It means that the state cannot deprive an individual of his freedom unless there is proof that the individual has committed an act of force or fraud, because no fair or just procedure would allow the state to deprive an individual of his freedom on grounds of socialism or statism. The notion of due process of law refers to the process of law due to a person insofar as he is a free born agent and not an agent born in slavery to the state, and the notion thus necessitates the establishment of a libertarian capitalist society. Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the words *life*, *liberty* and *property* contained in Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments do not refer to anything in reality and do not imply the rights to life, liberty or property. Listen to the court proclaim that A does not mean A, liberty does not mean liberty, but excludes economic liberty such as liberty of contract. Listen to the court proclaim that the liberty of the individual is not absolute but must serve the needs and interests of the collective. Listen to the court proclaim that it is due process of law, it is just, fair and reasonable procedure, to deprive an individual of his liberty of contract in the name of the mystical social organism, the mystical social organization, and the health, safety, morals and welfare of the almighty mystical state: "The principle which must control our decision is not in doubt. The constitutional provision invoked is the due process clause of the Fourteenth Amendment governing the states, as the due process clause invoked in the *Adkins* case governed Congress. In each case the violation alleged by those attacking minimum wage regulation for women is deprivation of freedom of contract. What is this freedom? The Constitution does not speak of freedom of contract. It speaks of liberty and prohibits the deprivation of liberty without due process of law. In prohibiting that deprivation, the Constitution does not recognize an absolute and uncontrollable liberty. Liberty in each of its phases has its history and connotation. But the liberty safeguarded is liberty in a social organization which requires the protection of law against the evils which menace the health, safety, morals, and welfare of the people. Liberty under the Constitution is thus necessarily subject to the restraints of due process, and regulation which is reasonable in relation to its subject and

is adopted in the interests of the community is due process." (Opinion by Chief Justice Charles Evan Hughes). ***Heart of Atlanta Hotel versus the United States, 1964***. Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the Commerce Clause in Article I of the Constitution gives the United States Congress the power to force private businesses by comply with Title II of the 1964 Civil Rights Act, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, religion or national origin. Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the Commerce Clause, which states that "Congress shall have power to regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes," does not say what it says. It says that Congress may only regulate businesses which cross state lines and not businesses whose activities are confined to a state. It says that Congress may only regulate businesses such as interstate trucking companies, bus companies or airlines, and not businesses such as hotels or restaurants whose activities are conducted intrastate. It says that Congress may not regulate businesses because their customers come from different states but only businesses whose trading and distribution are conducted across different states. Listen to the court proclaim that interstate commerce does not mean only interstate commerce but means local commerce as well: "The protection of interstate commerce is within the regulatory power of Congress under the Commerce Clause whether or not the transportation of persons between States is commercial. Congress has the power to enact appropriate legislation with regard to a place of public accommodation such as appellant's motel even if it is assumed to be of a purely local character, as Congress' power over interstate commerce extends to the regulation of local incidents which might have a substantial and harmful effect upon that commerce." (Opinion by Associate Justice Tom Clark). ***Miller versus California, 1973.*** Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the First Amendment to the Constitution does not say what it says. Listen to the court proclaim that A does not mean A and free speech does not mean free speech. Listen to the court proclaim that the government may censor speech and jail people for speech acts if local communities find the speech prurient, pornographic or obscene, the First Amendment and its absolute guarantee of free speech notwithstanding: "Obscene material is not protected by the First Amendment. *Roth v. United States* is reaffirmed. A work may be subject to state regulation where that work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest in sex; portrays, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by the applicable state law; and, taken as a whole, does not have serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value. The basic guidelines for the trier of fact must be: (a) whether 'the average person, applying contemporary community standards' would find that the work, taken as a whole, appeals to the prurient interest, (b) whether the work depicts or describes, in a patently offensive way, sexual conduct specifically defined by the applicable state law, and (c) whether the work, taken as a whole, lacks serious literary, artistic, political, or scientific value." (Syllabus, Justica.com). ***National Federation of Independent Business versus Sibelius, 2012.*** Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that A is not A, and a mandate on individuals to obtain health insurance is not a mandate but is a tax, and thus falls within the scope of Congress's taxing power under the Taxing and Spending Clause of Article I of the Constitution. Listen to the court proclaim that imagining A to be non A, imagining reality to be what it is not, imagining a mandate or penalty to be a tax, represents a reasonable exercise of judicial thinking. Listen to the court proclaim that A is not A and a mandate is not a mandate by virtue of the fact that the penalty for not obtaining health insurance is collected by a tax collection agency, by the Internal Revenue Service, and this IRS collection holds the magic power to erase reality, to turn the world upside down, and to transform a mandate or a penalty into a tax: "In a 5-4 ruling, the Supreme Court has upheld the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act. While only four Justices found its requirement that certain individuals pay a financial penalty for not obtaining health insurance (26 USC 5000A) constitutional under the Commerce Clause, Chief Justice Roberts found it constitutional by reasonably characterizing it as a tax. Chief Justice Roberts wrote: 'it is not our role to forbid it, or to pass upon its wisdom or fairness.' The penalty is to be paid to the IRS, along with the individual's

income taxes." (Summary, justicia.com). ***Dobbs versus Jackson Women's Health Organization*, 2022.** Listen to the Supreme Court proclaim that the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment to the Constitution, prohibiting the government establishment of religion and constructing a wall of separation between church and state, is null and void. Listen to the court proclaim that the states have a right to declare that a human zygote formed at the moment of conception is an actual human person with constitutional rights by virtue of the possession of a supernatural soul, and that abortion is an act of murder punishable by the highest criminal sanctions. Listen to the court proclaim that there is no right to abortion because liberty does not mean liberty, the word *liberty* in the Due Process Clause refers to nothing substantive in reality, and the word *abortion* does not appear in the constitution: "The Court finds that the right to abortion is not deeply rooted in the Nation's history and tradition. The underlying theory on which *Casey* rested—that the Fourteenth Amendment's Due Process Clause provides substantive, as well as procedural, protection for liberty—has long been controversial. The Court's decisions have held that the Due Process Clause protects two categories of substantive rights—those rights guaranteed by the first eight Amendments to the Constitution and those rights deemed fundamental that are not mentioned anywhere in the Constitution. In deciding whether a right falls into either of these categories, the question is whether the right is 'deeply rooted in [our] history and tradition' and whether it is essential to this Nation's 'scheme of ordered liberty.' The term *liberty* alone provides little guidance. For this reason, the Court has been reluctant to recognize rights that are not mentioned in the Constitution. Guided by the history and tradition that map the essential components of the Nation's concept of ordered liberty, the Court finds the Fourteenth Amendment clearly does not protect the right to an abortion." (Opinion by Associate Justice Samuel Alito). In the twenty first century, the enemies of freedom are on the march in America. They have made extensive progress and have shut down key institutions. They have descended upon the nation from two directions and formed a pincer movement surrounding and enveloping the Supreme Court and the Constitution. In five decisions handed down by the court over the course of a century, the movements of the Marxist Left and the Christian Right have reared their heads. In *West Coast Hotel versus Parrish*, *Heart of Atlanta Hotel versus the United States* and *National Federation of Independent Business versus Sibelius*, the Marxist Left has nullified liberty of contract, the right to property of private businesses, and the liberty to make personal decisions about obtaining products. In *Miller versus California* and *Dobbs versus Jackson Women's Health Organization*, the Christian Right has nullified the absolute guarantee of free speech contained in the First Amendment, and the wall of separation between church and state contained in the amendment's Establishment Clause. In the twenty first century, a pincer movement of religionists from the Marxist Left and the Christian Right has entered the gates of civilization, repealed the Enlightenment and achieved victory.

SMITHSONIAN SUBVERSION

He never visited America but exalted the nation as a fortress of the Enlightenment in the New World. When British chemist and mineralogist James Smithson died in 1829, he left his estate to America "to found in Washington, under the name of the Smithsonian Institution, an establishment for the increase and diffusion of knowledge among men." Thus was born the Smithsonian Institution, and in 1846, it opened its doors to the world. Up until the twenty first century, this national culture complex, consisting of 21 museums, 21 libraries, 14 education and research centers, a zoo, historical and architectural landmarks and 157 million items in Washington and New York City, educated Americans on the world of science, and its flag, depicting the sun of knowledge, was a shining symbol of its mission. In the new millennium, the Smithsonian Institution has crashed into the rocky shoals of far left ideologies and become a morally and culturally bankrupt shipwreck. It lies prostrate and destroyed on

the coastline of a rampant radicalism which has swept through America and the West and transformed them into alien, unrecognizable lands. No longer a fortress of Enlightenment values, the Smithsonian Institution has become in the twenty first century a castle of political correctness insusceptible to ideas underived from the Marxist trinity of gender, race and class politics. No longer a national center for the systematic study of man and the universe, the Smithsonian Institution has become in the twenty first century an ideological megaphone for the amplification of antiAmerican and antiWestern propaganda. No longer a manifesto of human advancement represented by the Enlightenment, the Smithsonian Institution has become in the twenty first century a manifesto of human reversion and civilizational collapse under a juggernaut of communist and neocommunist control. Look at the new manifesto and see one more nail in the coffin of the West and see just how sick society has become in the present day. The manifesto of the new Smithsonian Institution proclaims that a progressive culture center seeks to rewrite American history with pseudofacts and pseudonarratives produced in the reading rooms of Marxist theologians. A progressive culture center condemns the Enlightenment notions of individual rights and rational happiness as racist, sexist and oppressive. A progressive culture center condemns America as a copious spring of scandalous behavior toward women, nonwhites and the poor, and every American should feel ashamed of being an American. A progressive culture center teaches that Western liberal values are lashes of racism and America and the West must be dismantled. A progressive culture center condemns the notion of racial equality as a capitalist construct and teaches the doctrine of black supremacy. A progressive culture center teaches that capitalism is a regime of institutional racism directed against blacks. A progressive culture center teaches that all truths are products of racial identity and whites can only promote white values and white truths. A progressive culture center condemns capitalism as a regime of white power, privilege and the disenfranchisement of blacks. A progressive culture center teaches that the Enlightenment notions of individual dignity, hard work, rational achievement, responsible parenting and family planning are racist voices of white culture. A progressive culture center teaches the religion of transgenderism, according to which human gender has no biological reality and be changed by human action or belief. A progressive culture center teaches that transgender women, psychotic men who identify as women, should be granted full legal recognition as women and be allowed to use women's facilities and participate in women's sports. A progressive culture teaches that the values of individual wealth, success and rational flourishing are the values of white civilization. A progressive culture center is an agitprop tower transmitting black racist and anticapitalist narratives. A progressive culture center is an ideological indoctrination camp placing the mind into sealed isolation chambers. A progressive culture center denies the shining greatness and history of America because it denies the shining greatness and history of the Enlightenment. A progressive culture center treats America with contempt and disrespect because America promotes the Enlightenment values of liberal capitalism, human innovation, empirical inquiry and scientific advancement. A progressive culture center rejects the Enlightenment notion of human universalism and teaches race essentialism in its place, and teaches the natural, innate superiority of blacks. A progressive culture center instills in young minds a passionate hatred of all things capitalist and American and inspires them to storm the ramparts of civilization and launch an age of primitive tribal politics. A progressive culture center falsifies and reconstructs American history in accordance with the black Marxist party line and promotes the legend of black enslavement at the hands of liberalism, capitalism and the Enlightennent. A progressive culture center rejects the American Union of freedom, the American values of freedom, the American history of freedom, the American statesmen of freedom, the American achievements of freedom, the American heritage of freedom, and the American statues, markers and signs commemorating freedom, and advocates smashing them one and all, and smashing Western civilization, with jackhammers powered by a virulent, hate filled, partisan ideology: "Over the past decade, Americans have witnessed a concerted and widespread effort to rewrite our Nation’s

history, replacing objective facts with a distorted narrative driven by ideology rather than truth. This revisionist movement seeks to undermine the remarkable achievements of the United States by casting its founding principles and historical milestones in a negative light. Under this historical revision, our Nation's unparalleled legacy of advancing liberty, individual rights, and human happiness is reconstructed as inherently racist, sexist, oppressive, or otherwise irredeemably flawed. Rather than fostering unity and a deeper understanding of our shared past, the widespread effort to rewrite history deepens societal divides and fosters a sense of national shame, disregarding the progress America has made and the ideals that continue to inspire millions around the globe. The prior administration advanced this corrosive ideology. At Independence National Historical Park in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania—where our Nation declared that all men are created equal—the prior administration sponsored training by an organization that advocates dismantling 'Western foundations' and 'interrogating institutional racism' and pressured National Historical Park rangers that their racial identity should dictate how they convey history to visiting Americans because America is purportedly racist. Once widely respected as a symbol of American excellence and a global icon of cultural achievement, the Smithsonian Institution has, in recent years, come under the influence of a divisive, race centered ideology. This shift has promoted narratives that portray American and Western values as inherently harmful and oppressive. For example, the Smithsonian American Art Museum today features *The Shape of Power: Stories of Race and American Sculpture*, an exhibit representing that '[s]ocieties including the United States have used race to establish and maintain systems of power, privilege, and disenfranchisement.' The National Museum of African American History and Culture has proclaimed that 'hard work,' 'individualism,' and 'the nuclear family' are aspects of 'White culture.' The forthcoming Smithsonian American Women's History Museum plans on celebrating the exploits of male athletes participating in women's sports. These are just a few examples. It is the policy of my Administration to restore Federal sites dedicated to history, including parks and museums, to solemn and uplifting public monuments that remind Americans of our extraordinary heritage, consistent progress toward becoming a more perfect Union, and unmatched record of advancing liberty, prosperity, and human flourishing. Museums in our Nation's capital should be places where individuals go to learn—not to be subjected to ideological indoctrination or divisive narratives that distort our shared history. To advance this policy, we will restore the Smithsonian Institution to its rightful place as a symbol of inspiration and American greatness--igniting the imagination of young minds, honoring the richness of American history and innovation, and instilling pride in the hearts of all Americans. The Vice President and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget shall work with the Congress to ensure that future appropriations to the Smithsonian Institution: (i) prohibit expenditure on exhibits or programs that degrade shared American values, divide Americans based on race, or promote programs or ideologies inconsistent with Federal law and policy; and (ii) celebrate the achievements of women in the American Women's History Museum and do not recognize men as women in any respect in the Museum. The Secretary of the Interior shall: (I) determine whether, since January 1, 2020, public monuments, memorials, statues, markers, or similar properties within the Department of the Interior's jurisdiction have been removed or changed to perpetuate a false reconstruction of American history, inappropriately minimize the value of certain historical events or figures, or include any other improper partisan ideology." (*Restoring Truth And Sanity To American History*, Executive Order, Donald Trump. March 27, 2025). Official security for the Smithsonian Institution is provided by two large forces: by the United States Park Police and the Smithsonian Institution Office of Protection Services. In the twenty first century, this official security has been supplemented by a fearsome paramilitary force. Rejecting the values, beliefs and greatness of the Enlightenment and their embodiment in America, today's Smithsonian Institution is protected by the Red Guards.

CURTAIN LOWERS ON SHAKESPEARE

He is venerated as the greatest and most influential writer and dramatist in the English language. He is Britain's national poet and the Bard of Avon. He is the world's best selling playwright, whose works have sold over four billion copies. His works have been translated into every major language and been performed more than those of any other playwright. He is the third most translated author in history. This playwright and poet, born in the Golden Age of British history, born during the European Renaissance, born in 1564 in Stratford upon Avon, and resting in Stratford's Church of the Holy Trinity, is William Shakespeare. For a half a millennium, this Western cultural icon had been hailed as "not of an age but for all time" and his folios were said to shine like diamonds, illuminating timeless truths through characters, plots, dramatic language and a multiple genres. For a half a millennium, this master of the stage, verse and poetic story had been hailed as the "soul of the age, the applause, delight, the wonder of our stage." For a half a millennium, this composer of grandeur, epics and spectacles which blazed out across the world had been hailed: "Does he not shine, in crowned sovereignty, over us all, as the noblest, gentlest, yet strongest of rallying signs, indestructible?" (*On Heroes, Hero Worship and the Heroic in History* by Thomas Carlyle). In the twenty first century, William Shakespeare has been hurled off stage and his works buried by a tidal wave of political correctness fueled by a movement which has stricken civilization In the twenty first century, William Shakespeare has been canceled by the number one religion of our time, by a spreading mass cancer known as Marxism, and joining him is the West in the dustbin of history. In the twenty first century, the spotlight on Shakespeare, blazing for a half a millennium, has been smashed by a manifesto doused in the gasoline of a regressive, antiEnlightenment ideology, and the flames have engulfed literary forms encrusted in the crown of the West. Listen to the manifesto and hear the death knell sound for the artistic progress of mankind. Hear the roaring of a conflagration of book burning ignited by the red guards and brownshirts of the present day. They are in charge of the Western establishment and their manifesto is the West's closing act and burial shroud. Read the antiShakespeare cloth covering the corpse of the West. It proclaims that Shakespeare must be banned because he is a symbol of capitalism. Shakespeare must be banned because capitalism is a regime of white European supremacy. Capitalism is noninclusive and excludes black power. Capitalism is a product of Western, liberal perspectives. Capitalism is a product of European culture. Capitalism is racist, sexist and homophobic. Capitalism is misogynistic. Capitalism exalts white beauty and not black beauty. Capitalism promotes British superiority. Capitalism promotes narratives which harm minorities. Capitalism is opposed to the policy of diversity which selects people on the basis of race and gender and does not treat individuals as individuals, and calls its racism and sexism the achievement of equity. Capitalism is a regime of discrimination against nonwhites from Bengalis and Bollywood. Capitalism is a regime inaccessible to women and nonwhites. Capitalism is a regime of colonial oppression of indigenous people. Capitalism is a race drama and a race play mocking and scorning blacks. Capitalism is a regime of whiteness and discrimination against people of color. Capitalism is a theater of oppression and a stage of exploitation. Capitalism is regime of hatred of gays, lesbians, women, Jews and the poor. Capitalism is a cross of crucifixion of black women. Capitalism is a regime of violence, pornography and human degradation. Capitalism is a regime which advocates sex with women without their consent. Capitalism is a regime of sexual assault, data rape and the drugging of women.Capitalism is a regime of Anglocultural supremacy. Capitalism is a regime of white Anglocentric, Eurocentric and Western prejudice. Capitalism is a regime disseminating racist language against blacks. Capitalism is a regime exalting whiteness and exalting nothing else. Capitalism is a regime of racist terror and the lynching of blacks. Capitalism is a regime incurably infected with problematic whiteness. Capitalism is a gendered and racialist regime directed against women and racial and ethnic minorities. Capitalism is a regime of discrimination against Jews, Moors

and Turks. Capitalism is a regime of discrimination against latinos and native communities. Capitalism is a regime made by and for Euro-Americans and is antiwoke, antiNative American, antitribal land and antiblack. Capitalism is a regime appealing to white, conservative, upper class bigots. Capitalism is a regime which forces women to marry men. Capitalism is regime which casts blacks in a negative light and whites in a positive light. Capitalism is a regime communicated in racist stereotypes demonizing blacks. Capitalism is a regime of slurs, insults, broken glass and gas chambers signifying a holocaust against women, blacks, latinos and the poor: "William Shakespeare's birthplace will be decolonized over fears that portraying his success as the greatest playwright 'benefits the ideology of white European supremacy'. Shakespeare's Birthplace Trust owns buildings in the playwright's hometown of Stratford upon Avon**.** It wants to 'create a more inclusive museum experience' and announced it will move away from Western perspectives after concerns were raised that Shakespeare's ideas were used to advance 'white supremacy' ideas. The trust also said that some of its items could contain language or depictions that are racist, sexist, or homophobic. It comes amid an ongoing backlash against the writer. Some productions of his works have been slapped with trigger warnings for misogyny, racism and 'problematic radicalized dynamics' that link whiteness to beauty. In 2022, a research project between the trust and Dr Helen Hopkins at the University of Birmingham postulated that the idea of Shakespeare's 'universal' genius 'benefits the ideology of white European supremacy'. This is because European culture is portrayed as the standard for high art and the playwright as a symbol of British 'superiority', according to *The Telegraph.* The project said this narrative has caused harm, and advised that the trust stop saying Shakespeare was the 'greatest' but part of a community of 'equal and different' writers globally. Shakespeare's Birthplace Trust later received funding from the Esmee Fairbairn Foundation, which finances projects that boost diversity and inclusion. Some of its organized events celebrated Bengali poet Rabindranath Tagore, and a Romeo and Juliet inspired Bollywood dance workshop. The trust also promised to remove offensive language from its collections. Some of the items at the trust include archived material, literary criticism, books linked to the writer and gifts from around the world offered in honor of him. A statement from the trust said: 'As part of our ongoing work, we've undertaken a project which explores our collections to ensure they are as accessible as possible.' In 2021, The Globe Theatre launched a project to 'decolonize' Shakespeare's famous plays, while experts claimed his work is 'problematic' for linking whiteness to beauty. The London theater, which is a reconstruction of the Elizabethan playhouse where William Shakespeare wrote his work, has begun 'antiracist' seminars to discuss 'decolonizing' Shakespeare's esteemed plays. Experts claimed that the bard's plays are 'problematic' for linking whiteness to beauty, while an academic said all of Shakespeare's plays are 'race plays' as they all contain 'whiteness'. Also in 2021, it was reported that a growing number of 'woke' academics are refusing to teach Shakespeare in US schools, arguing that the Bard promotes racism, white supremacy and intolerance, and instead are pushing for the teaching of 'modern' alternatives. Writing in School Library Journal, Amanda MacGregor, a Minnesota-based librarian, bookseller and freelance journalist, asked why teachers were continuing to include Shakespeare in their classrooms. 'Shakespeare's works are full of problematic, outdated ideas, with plenty of misogyny, racism, homophobia, classism, antisemitism and misogynoir,' she wrote, with the last word referring to a hatred of black women. But others contended the latest woke trend is short sighted, not taking into account the lessons that Shakespeare still can teach for today--with one *New York Times* best selling author accusing schools that jettison the writer as 'flushing great literature down the drain'. In 2023, The Globe gave Shakespeare's *A Midsummer Night's Dream* a 'misogyny and racism; warning. The comedy, telling the tale of four rebellious lovers who get lost in a magical forest, is set to run from April as part of the venue's summer program. The warning read: 'Content guidance: The play contains language of violence, sexual references, misogyny and racism.' The online guidance ends with a plea to those concerned about its themes to contact the ticketing team for further details on

the play's content. The venue is a replica of the original Globe theatre, where Shakespeare's plays were first seen, so is closely associated with the Bard. A spokesman for the Globe said at the time: 'Content guidance is written in advance of the creation of each production and based on what is present in the play. These will be updated as the production comes to life.' The website's warning came after education experts at the theatre who intend to 'decolonize' Shakespeare's work also attacked the play for its misogyny. A major comic plot line is King Oberon giving a love potion to Queen Titania so she falls for the ass headed character Bottom. But academics have claimed this is troubling because Titania is drugged, so she cannot consent." (*Shakespeare's Birthplace To Be 'Decolonized' Over Fears His Success 'Benefits The Ideology Of White European Supremacy'* by Emily Jane Davies). *Good friend, for Jesus' sake forbear, To dig the dust enclosed here. Blessed be the man that spares these stones, And cursed be he that moves my bones*. Thus states the epitaph carved into the slab covering William Shakespeare's grave in the Holy Trinity Church. In the twenty first century, this sacred site has been attacked by graverobbers. Staging woke theater, Marxist narratives, black supremacy and tribal land acknowledgments, the jackboots of the Western establishment have thrown Shakespeare to the winds.

DOMINOES FALL ACROSS ASIA

In the world of the atom, a chain reaction occurs when the single splitting of an atomic nucleus causes the further splitting of other nuclei, ending in a vast explosion. The flashes engulfing the skies over Hiroshima and Nagasaki in 1945, caused by nuclear chain reactions occurring in bombs, were fearsome testaments to the awesome power produced by these natural events. For a quarter of a century in Asia beginning in 1948, a chain reaction of communist power occurred which made the yellow earth tremble. It began in Korea and would engulf the region up to Cambodia. It was described by Dwight Eisenhower as a series of dominoes falling in rapid succession, ending in the vast disintegration of freedom: "Finally, you have broader considerations that might follow what you would call the "falling domino" principle. You have a row of dominoes set up, you knock over the first one, and what will happen to the last one is the certainty that it will go over very quickly. So you could have a beginning of a disintegration that would have the most profound influences." (News conference held on April 7, 1954). Communist victory produced strong magnetic forces in the East, a region morally barren and devoid of the soil of freedom, and a communist victory in one nation would embolden mass supported communist predators in others, and lead to a movement sweeping across 5000 kilometers. The first explosion occurred in 1948, when America agreed to divide Korea at the thirty ninth parallel and give the new North Korea to the Soviets. Then on October 1, 1949, the People's Liberation Army led by Mao Zedong marched into Peking and achieved victory over the Nationalists. In 1950, communist North Korea invaded South Korea and started the Korean War. In 1954-1955, communist China bombarded the islands of Quemoy, Matsu, Dachen and Yjiangshan held by the Nationalist ruled Republic of China, and took control of Dachen and Yjiangshan. In 1954, the communist Viet Minh led by Ho Chi Minh took control of North Vietnam and immediately began supplying and directing the communist Viet Cong in South Vietnam. In 1958, the North Vietnamese invaded Laos and established the Ho Chi Minh Trail to supply the Viet Cong. By 1963, the North Vietnamese had sent 40,000 soldiers, carrying Soviet and Chinese weapons, into South Vietnam. In 1975, Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia all fell to communism: South Vietnam fell to the Viet Cong, Laos fell to the Pathet Lao, and Cambodia fell to the Khmer Rouge. An explosion set off in Korea in 1948 set into motion a process mass fission which blazed through China, started the North Korean invasion of South Korea, spread communism into North Vietnam and then into all of Vietnam, and then into Laos and Cambodia, and showed to a shocked free world the spectacle of two, three, many Vietnams: "Advocates for the Domino Theory point to neighboring Laos and Cambodia, which tipped Communist after the Fall of

Saigon. One can point to earlier regime changes around the world that suggest the theory has some validity. North Korea fell in 1948, then China in 1949. These two countries' war against the US and South Korea inspired the Vietnamese's struggle against the French. The Vietnamese then assisted the Pathet Lao in Laos, and so forth. It stands to reason that success in one place might spur success nearby. Argentinian revolutionary Che Guevara thought as much when he foresaw 'two, three, many Vietnams' following from the success of Ho Chi Minh." (*The Domino Theory In Retrospect*, Veterans Breakfast Club). From the late 1940s to 1975, a raging wildfire swept through Asia. Fueled by communist dogma, communist inclinations, communist popularity and communist strategy, it sought the destruction of the free world. It gave rise to the domino theory of communist expansion, a theory as vehemently denied by the communist fifth column operating in the West and as it was corroborated every hour and every day in Asia, and as it haunted the lives of the Asian victims of totalitarian tyranny: "The domino theory was an accurate theory. It was accurate for the 1950s and 60s. Critical events, some caused by the US national strategy, in the 1970s defeated the potential completion of the theory. However the United States was correct in establishing a national strategy of containment to deal with real and potential communist expansion in Southeast Asia. The Korean conflict and communist movements through Asia supported communism's spreading influence. The political view, military capability, and investment of the communist powers supported it. The communist dogma and inclinations of the North Vietnam leadership supported it. This paper supports the domino theory. There was always a need to address it with a national strategy. It was important to the United States and to the world. Former President Nixon wrote in his book, *The Real War*, 'The dominoes have always taken the domino theory' seriously--only in the fashionable salons of the West was it scoffed at.' With all due respect Secretary McNamara, you are wrong." (*Was The Domino Theory Wrong? Communist Internationalism And The Vietnam War* by Donald Langridge). Spreading across the culturally combustible woodland known as Asia, a dark forest which never grasped the light of freedom, communism captured Vietnam, Laos and Cambodia in its death grip from the 1950s through to the 1970s. A chain reaction of fear and control swept through a morally benighted continent, a series of terrifying events, each caused by the previous one, a process of mass political fission fueled by popular acclaim, to which the West surrendered and chose not to contain: "What would a numerate adherent of the Domino Theory have said in 1954? Presumably he wouldn't have huffed, 'I'm only right if Vietnam goes communist, then every other country in Asia goes communist within a year.' Instead, he would name a lower number and a longer time frame. Probably something like 3-5 southeast Asian countries going communist within 5-10 years. So what actually happened? Since Eisenhower was speaking just before the Geneva Accord split Vietnam in two, it would be fair to say that the rise of communism in North Vietnam did indeed bring three more countries under communist control: South Vietnam, Laos, and Cambodia. It took a little over two decades, but once South Vietnam fell, the other two crumbled the same year." (*The Domino Theory Reconsidered* by Bryan Caplan). The Domino theory was confirmed by a brute reality, unpleasant, monstrous and unable to be avoided. It was supported by the reality of Marxist class war, the Russian Revolution, the Soviet government, Lenin's promotion of agitation across border, the Communist International, and the end stage, late Western, mob induced spirit of the time: "The Domino Theory was the belief that communism would expand and spread from one country to the next until it dominated the world. This idea shaped the foreign policy of the United States and other Western countries during the Cold War. Adherents of the Domino Theory pointed to the writings of Russian communist leader Vladimir Lenin, who called for 'international revolution.' Communism, Lenin said, was a movement based on class, rather than nationality or race. It would transcend borders, nationalities, patriotism and language barriers. After the Russian Revolution, Lenin believed communism would inspire similar revolutions in Germany, France and other European nations. The new Soviet government actively promoted communism abroad. In March 1919 Moscow

established the Communist International, or Comintern, a committee of Russian and foreign delegates. The Comintern's main objective was to foster and support communist movements in other nations, in order to facilitate the spread of communism. The Comintern was attended by delegates from communist parties in dozens of countries, including Western nations like the United States, Great Britain and Australia. In its early years, the Comintern provided training and material support to individuals and groups around the world, including the Chinese Communist Party (CCP) and Vietnamese nationalist Ho Chi Minh." (*The Domino Theory*, Alpha History). In 1975, the final domino in Asia fell, the final victim of the communist onslaught, and the collapse of Cambodia into a maelstrom of horror defied the imagination of the civilized world. The final fallen domino, Cambodia collapsed into genocide perpetrated against the enemies of the proletariat. It collapsed into mass murder perpetrated with rifle barrels, rifle butts, and policies of starvation and slave labor. It collapsed into the worst bloodbath in the history of mankind calculated on a proportional basis. It collapsed into communist radicalism and revolution which claimed the lives of one quarter of the population of the nation. It collapsed into a holocaust springing directly and inexorably from the pages of Karl Marx: "On April 17, 1975, the Communist Khmer Rouge took control of Phnom Penh, the capital of Cambodia. That same day, they ordered the evacuation of the entire city. The inhabitants were allowed to take only what they could carry. It didn't matter if you were sick or infirm, young or old; you had to leave. No exceptions. Where were you going? The soldiers couldn't tell you. If you protested, you'd be beaten with rifle butts or simply shot. The Khmer Rouge had a slogan for troublesome people: 'to keep you is no profit, to destroy you is no loss.' Cambodia's communist revolution would prove to be, per capita, the most radical, bloodiest revolution of the twentieth century, maybe of any century. About two million people, or 25 percent of the Cambodian population, perished during the Khmer Rouge's four years in power. Countless were murdered outright, countless more were worked or starved to death. Not even Mao on his worst day could match that ratio." (*The Hall Of Evil: Pol Pot* by Paul Kengor). Chain reaction. August 6 and 9, 1945. Mushroom clouds rise over Hiroshima and Nagasaki. Immediate, total destruction, claiming the lives of 200,000 civilians. Chain reaction. Communist expansion across Asia in the midtwentieth century. A twenty five year course of destruction, resetting the calendar, turning back the clock to the year zero, culminating in the killing fields of Cambodia. Across the jungles and steppes of a savage continent stricken by a fever, the domino theory stood confirmed by the onset of a new Dark Age.

PAINTER OF LIGHT SMEARED BY THE ESTABLISHMENT

The obverse and reverse are names for the two faces of coins. The obverse is the front face of a coin while the reverse is the back face. The obverse is often called *heads*, because it often shows the head of a famous figure, while the reverse is often called *tails*. From the world of numismatics has come a phrase in wide currency in the English language. It refers to two aspects of the same reality, two things which are closely related but appear on the surface to be different. The phrase is *two sides of the same coin*. In the world of the arts, this phrase describes the body of works appearing since the dawn of the twentieth century. These works appear to be opposite but represent in fact two sides of the same coin. This coin is stamped *fraud* and its two sides state two definitions of art which are united in their rejection of the real character of art. The first side states that art is an exercise in formalism and is without content or representation of any kind. The second side states that art consists in the photographic replication of reality without selection or essentialization of any kind. The first side conflates art with an exercise in psychosis and detachment from all reality, while the second side conflates art with photography and journalism. The first side is the product of the most influential philosopher in modern times, the veritable voice of the Faustian West, while the second side represents

a form of artistic degeneration found in cultures the world over in their declining stages. Late stage Roman art is marked by the abandonment of Greek canons and the ideal, stylized representations of reality produced by the Greeks, in favor of a photographic, warts and all realism. On the first side is the face of a philosopher who proclaims that because reality is unperceivable, because perceived objects are unreal, subjective appearances, the highest type of art consists in nothing more than the free play of pure forms, consists in nothing more than the ways artistic elements are arranged. He proclaims that the purest beauty is the most formal. He proclaims that in the highest type of art, the only important attributes are the form and the medium. He proclaims that beauty is not a property of reality because reality is unknowable, but is based on pure subjective feeling, and the highest type of artistic judgments are those which are "disinterested" or unrelated to objects. His name is Immanuel Kant, and though he was born during the Enlightenment, he was not of the Enlightenment, but led a movement of counterEnlightenment, a movement of subjectivism and irrationalism, which sought to return the West to its Faustian, romanticist roots. When Kant died in 1804, the Enlightenment soon ended and his legacy was a philosophy which proclaimed the primacy of consciousness and denied the existence of physical objects. Advocating a new "Copernican Revolution" in philosophy in which the mind and senses are the sun around which objects revolve, and perception does not conform to objects but objects conform to perception, Kant left a legacy which paved the way for an increasing virulence of subjectivity and an increasing shallowness of philosophy, culminating in the rocky shoals of linguistic analysis and the Heideggerian Mind Being in which philosophy ran aground in the twentieth century. Kant's esthetic creed of formalism took two centuries to gestate, and in the twentieth century it brought forth the movement known as modern art or abstract art, which proclaimed that the highest type of art is that which does not represent any visual reality but simply uses shapes, lines, colors and gestures to achieve expression. It proclaimed that the highest type of art is nonfigurative, nonrepresentational, purely subjective, and characterized by absolute freedom of form and interpretation. It proclaimed that the highest type of art is the painting of nothing and an abstraction from nothing. Beginning in the twentieth century, this fraudulent coin, whose faces reject the identification that art is art, or that art is properly a recreation, selection, idealization and abstraction from reality, this coin whose faces proclaim that A is not A, that art is formalism or art is journalism, has been deployed as a cudgel against those who champion art as an ideal representation of reality. It has been deployed in a campaign to silence and suppress the champions of essentialized art. It has been deployed to go after artists who have dared to defy the trends of the culture, dared to defy the Kantian trend and the journalistic trend, and dared to portray beauty on canvas. It has been deployed to zero in on artists whose works abstract, idealize, stylize and essentialize from the data of the senses, and depict the order and harmony of existence, and to pillory them in the public square, and subject them to endless volleys of vituperation. Look at what the establishment has said about an artist who painted light and enthralled people throughout the world. He painted pastoral, idyllic subjects framed and immersed in the sun. He portrayed gardens, streams, lighthouses, stone cottages and main streets in pastel colors, glowing atmospheres and brilliant illumination. Look at what the establishment has said about American artist Thomas Kinkade, born in 1958, died in 2012. Collected in the millions by ordinary people while condemned en masse by the critics, Kinkade's works have been placed on trial in the court of the establishment on the charge of being abstract, idealized, stylized and essentialized depictions of reality. They have been found guilty and condemned as old fashioned. They have been condemned as excessively sweet. They have been condemned as cloying fantasies. They have been condemned as shallow and meaningless. They have been condemned as imitations of Walt Disney's animations. They have been condemned as sentimental fairy tales with unreal renditions of animals. They have been condemned as cheap and low grade in the style of greeting cards. They have been condemned as based on overused images. They have been condemned as mass produced, machine productions. They have

been condemned as the products of computer rules, calculations, formulas and instructions. They have been condemned as brushstrokes produced by the cold hands of artificial intelligence. They have been condemned as overcharged, overintense, garish, loud and lurid. They have been condemned as superficial, low art decorations. They have been condemned because they do not depict dung, dirt, untidiness or unmade beds. They have been condemned as boring, commonplace and empty. They have been condemned as representations of reason, virtue and beauty, as works able to be understood and appreciated by mentally well, morally legitimate people: "Thomas Kinkade was one of the best selling artists in history, as well as one of the most divisive. When he died in 2012, the American painter had been rocked by business problems, but at his commercial peak a decade earlier, his company was bringing in more than 100 million dollars a year. And yet his work was despised by many critics–not because it was blasphemous or obscene, but because, well, he specialized in quaint pictures of thatched roof rural cottages nestling in leafy groves. 'Thomas Kinkade's style is illustrative saccharine fantasy rather than art with which you can connect at any meaningful level,' Charlotte Mullins, the author of *A Little History Of Art*, tells the BBC. 'It is schmaltzy pastiches of Disney style woodland scenes, complete with cutesy animals and fairy tale cottages. They are like the images you find on cheap greetings cards–sugary and forgettable.' And compared to some critics, Mullins is being polite. In *Art For Everybody*, Christopher Knight, the art critic of the *Los Angeles Times*, is contemptuous of Kinkade's imagery. 'It's a cliche piled upon a fantasy piled upon a bad idea,' he says. 'The color is juiced and the light coming from inside those cottages is intense and blaring.' Just as importantly, as far as his critics were concerned, Kinkade's pictures had nothing to them beyond their superficial decorative qualities. 'They are banal and hollow, with no intent to say anything meaningful,' says Mullins. 'Today we would think they had been produced by AI, designed as if by algorithm to a certain formula.' This sort of disagreement between Kinkade and his critics was one of his selling points. *Art For Everybody* features news reports and promotional videos, in which he tells adoring audiences that his art could be understood and appreciated by everyone, whereas only the snooty elite could see anything artistic about Chris Ofili putting elephant dung on his canvases, or Tracey Emin presenting her unmade bed to gallery goers. 'This is not legitimate art,' he proclaimed. He trademarked the sobriquet Painter of Light, not just because of all the sunlit clouds and fiery cottages in his pictures, but to signify that he was a force for virtue. 'The art world is a world of darkness today,' he thundered. He, in contrast, was 'someone who stands up for beauty.' " (*'Banal And Hollow': Why The Quaint Paintings Of Thomas Kinkade Divided The US* by Nicholas Barber). Look at what the establishment has said about Thomas Kincade. It condemns light filled, essentualized depictions of reality on the grounds that they are expressions of white, heterosexual, Christian nationalism which supports Donald Trump and his movement to make America great again: "And, as [Miranda] Yousef points out in *Art for Everybody*, the art that Kinkade made was decidedly white and heteronormative, bending toward a Christian nationalist nostalgia that may have fed the yearning for an earlier, simpler time that has been exploited by the Maga movement. Yousef explained to me that she tried hard to find people of color to present in *Art For Everybody*, but was largely unable to do so. 'His consumer base was not exclusively white, but it was overwhelmingly white,' she told me." (*'A Modern Day Greek Tragedy': The Life And Death Of Artist Thomas Kinkade* by Veronica Esposito). In the twenty first century, the arts lie in ruins, decomposing in the decay of the human mind. The stench of Kantian formalism and the creed of art as reportage has reduced paintings to absolute nothingness or to photographic snapshots. The Thomas Kinkades of the art world have been consigned to the outer darkness of cultural nonexistence.

REDS STEAL AMERICA'S ELECTIONS

Rigged. Political candidates are preselected by the Soviet Communist Party. The party is enshrined as the sole ruling party in Russia. **Rigged.** Members of the Communist Party alone are allowed to run for office in elections held in East Germany beginning in 1949. **Rigged.** Joseph Stalin invites sixteen noncommunist politicians in Poland to Moscow and arrests them. Without opposition, the Polish Communist Party wins the national election in 1947. **Rigged.** In elections held in Czechoslovakia in 1948, communist candidates alone are allowed to run for office, and a communist government is elected. The rigging of elections was endemic in the Communist Bloc and ensured the continuance of totalitarian tyranny. The attack on democracy is part and parcel of the communist plan to abolish free societies and is an essential component of far left movements throughout the West. In the former leader of the Western world, in a successful campaign illustrating just how sick the West has become in the twenty first century, the far left has rigged elections at all levels, local, state and federal, in the United States of America, and rendered the constitutional guarantee of the right to vote in a free and fair system a dead letter. In the United States of America, the far left has assumed control over the ballot box and manufactured votes by the millions for the maintenance and expansion of a Soviet style welfare, regulatory regime. The tactics employed by the far left to rig elections are as varied as its hatred for free societies runs deep, and they form a multiheaded monster stalking every polling booth in the nation, seeking to catch and kill democracy. The far left rigs America's elections by not requiring biometric identification of voters. It rigs America's elections by allowing voters to declare they are citizens without having to provide proof. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to minimize the use of paper ballots counted by government officials. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to maximize mail in voting to cover the entire adult population. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to manufacture absentee votes. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to count late arrived ballots regardless of when they were mailed. It rigs America's elections by allowing unauthorized custody of ballots. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to authorize mass illegal voting. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to improperly count votes. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to authorize noncitizens to vote. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to ignore Election Day and count ballots received for an indefinite time after Election Day. It rigs America's elections by preventing states from removing unauthorized voters from lists and from maintaining accurate lists of legal voters. It rigs America's elections by not requiring the Department of Homeland Security to share information on unauthorized voters with states. It rigs America's elections by depriving the Department of Justice of funds to enforce laws banning noncitizens from voting. It rigs America's elections by allowing foreign nationals and foreign nongovernmental organizations to violate federal law and donate money to political parties or candidates in federal, state and local elections. It rigs America's elections by preventing voters from receiving paper records to check their votes and verifying that they live in a constitutional republic establishing election integrity, transparent contests, honest vote tabulation, and a free and fair system of democracy: "Despite pioneering self government, the United States now fails to enforce basic and necessary election protections employed by modern, developed nations, as well as those still developing. India and Brazil, for example, are tying voter identification to a biometric database, while the United States largely relies on self attestation for citizenship. In tabulating votes, Germany and Canada require use of paper ballots, counted in public by local officials, which substantially reduces the number of disputes as compared to the American patchwork of voting methods that can lead to basic chain of custody problems. Further, while countries like Denmark and Sweden sensibly limit mail in voting to those unable to vote in person and do not count late arriving votes regardless of the date of postmark, many American elections now feature mass voting by mail, with many officials accepting ballots without postmarks or those received well after

Election Day. Free, fair, and honest elections unmarred by fraud, errors, or suspicion are fundamental to maintaining our constitutional Republic. The right of American citizens to have their votes properly counted and tabulated, without illegal dilution, is vital to determining the rightful winner of an election. Under the Constitution, State governments must safeguard American elections in compliance with Federal laws that protect Americans' voting rights and guard against dilution by illegal voting, discrimination, fraud, and other forms of malfeasance and error. Yet the United States has not adequately enforced Federal election requirements that, for example, prohibit States from counting ballots received after Election Day or prohibit noncitizens from registering to vote. Federal law establishes a uniform Election Day across the Nation for Federal elections. It is the policy of my Administration to enforce those statutes and require that votes be cast and received by the election date established in law. As the United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit recently held in *Republican National Committee v. Wetzel*, those statutes set 'the day by which ballots must be both cast by voters and received by state officials.' Yet numerous States fail to comply with those laws by counting ballots received after Election Day. This is like allowing persons who arrive 3 days after Election Day, perhaps after a winner has been declared, to vote in person at a former voting precinct, which would be absurd. Several Federal laws prohibit foreign nationals from registering to vote or voting in Federal elections. Yet States fail adequately to vet voters' citizenship, and, in recent years, the Department of Justice has failed to prioritize and devote sufficient resources for enforcement of these provisions. Even worse, the prior administration actively prevented States from removing aliens from their voter lists. Additionally, Federal laws require States to maintain an accurate and current Statewide list of every legally registered voter in the State. And the Department of Homeland Security is required to share database information with States upon request so they can fulfill this duty. Maintaining accurate voter registration lists is a fundamental requirement in protecting voters from having their ballots voided or diluted by fraudulent votes. Federal law prohibits foreign nationals from participating in Federal, State, or local elections by making any contributions or expenditures. But foreign nationals and nongovernmental organizations have taken advantage of loopholes in the law's interpretation, spending millions of dollars through conduit contributions and ballot initiative related expenditures. This type of foreign interference in our election process undermines the franchise and the right of American citizens to govern their Republic. Above all, elections must be honest and worthy of the public trust. That requires voting methods that produce a voter verifiable paper record allowing voters to efficiently check their votes to protect against fraud or mistake. Election integrity standards must be modified accordingly. It is the policy of my Administration to enforce Federal law and to protect the integrity of our election process." (*Preserving And Protecting The Integrity Of American Elections*, Executive Order, Donald Trump, March 25, 2025). The far left rigs America's elections by allowing enemy aliens to vote. It rigs America's elections by allowing millions of noncitizens to vote in local contests. It rigs America's elections by allowing a mass influx of migrant scum to settle in the nation and stuff ballot boxes. It rigs America's elections by refusing to require voters to show identification and prove they are eligible to vote. It rigs America's elections by allowing states to retain voter rolls containing illegal voters. It rigs America's elections by creating families of illegal aliens, parents, children, grandchildren and great grandchildren, multiplying and exploding across the nation, sending civilized people fleeing for their lives along with free and fair elections: "The far left welfare queens at NPR are now claiming conservatives are spreading a myth about illegal aliens voting, even though Democrats are openly pushing to allow illegal aliens to vote. 'Conservatives are warning about noncitizens voting. It's a myth with a long history,' reads the NPR headline. Oddly enough, NPR fails to notify its readers of this NPR story published less than 15 months ago: 'New York City will allow 800,000 noncitizens to vote in local elections.' That law was blocked in June. Nevertheless, '11 towns in Maryland and two in Vermont allow illegals 'the right to vote in local races.' There is no doubt that

this influx of illegals is about preserving electoral votes in the Blue States that are losing population. The US Census counts illegals. American citizens are abandoning Blue States like New York and California and illegals are making up that loss in population. This allows those states to keep their electoral votes and congressional seats. What's more, the children of those illegal aliens automatically become American citizens and, by extension, legal voters. And so, all of that is legally rigged in favor of Democrats. Then you add in the following: 1. Democrats fight tooth and nail against voter ID. 2. Democrats fight tooth and nail against cleaning up old voter rolls." (*Far Left NPR Claims Illegal Aliens Voting Is 'Right Wing Myth'* by John Nolte). Seeking to create the appearance of democracy, the Soviet Union held elections but only allowed Communist Party candidates to appear on the ballots. In 1949, in protest against this fraud, Ivan Burylov wrote the Russian word for *comedy* on his ballot. He was sent to the Gulag for eight years for his crime. Abolishing voter identification requirements, abolishing voter vetting requirements, abolishing in person voting, abolishing the nation's borders and allowing illegal migrants to vote, America in the twenty first century has created a movement of Ivan Burylovs and sent free and fair elections into the Gulag.

THE NEW BARBARIANS

August 24, 410 AD. King Alaric leads his Visigoths to ransack the Eternal City and spiritual center of the Roman Empire. This is the first time in 800 years that Rome falls to a foreign enemy, and the Sack of Rome shocked and terrified contemporaries. The sack was a dramatic symbol of the triumph of religion and barbarism which had laid low the Classical world. The Roman Empire and the barbarians had long been Christianized, and the attacking Visigoths spared the basilicas of Peter and Paul from their rampage. The fall of Rome and the policy of the incoming Christian barbarians are described by Saint Jerome in a letter to a person named Principia: "The city which had taken the whole world was itself taken. The barbarians conveyed both you and her [Principia and Marcella] to the basilica of the apostle Paul, that you might find there either a place of safety or, if not that, at least a tomb." (Saint Jerome, *The Letters Of Saint Jerome, Letter CXXVII to Principia*). Fifteen hundred years after the collapse of Greco-Roman civilization at the hands of enemies within and without, the forces of barbarism have returned to a new theater and a new field of battle. They have returned to the Faustian West and rampage under new flags, new colors and new symbols, but united by the age old barbarian rejection of reason. In the twenty first century, the Christians have returned but the Germanic tribes have been replaced by Marxists and fascists, and three other old time religions have seen a resurgence, fighting alongside the Christians: Islam, Hinduism and Orthodox Judaism. Listen to this expansive end stage movement of antiEnlightenment and hear the death knell of civilization thunder across the West and smash what its previous incarnations in ancient Rome had smashed: the traditions and institutions of human flourishing. **Marxism.** Listen to Marxists proclaim that a progressive educational system replaces the transmission of knowledge with courses in political indoctrination. A progressive educational system teaches students that America and the West, shining symbols of free minds and free markets, are copious springs of oppression and exploitation. A progressive educational system rewrites history and fabricates a legend of mystical classes and processes of class action, class struggle, class war and class revolution in place of the reality of thinking, willing, deciding, flesh and blood individuals. A progressive educational system denies the history of free people and free institutions and fabricates histories of oppression and exploitation of women, nonwhites and the poor at the hands of the capitalist system. A progressive educational system seeks to subvert students' ability to think for themselves and critically examine dogmas, and promotes blind acceptance of the party line. A progressive educational system rejects the Enlightenment notions of human essentialism and universalism and seeks to divide students on the basis of gender, race and class, and to turn female and

nonwhite students against white males, to make them hate them, and to maul them apart. A progressive educational system teaches students not to use their minds or engage in rational communication but to brandish fists and commit acts of violence, and teaches female and nonwhite students to attack white males, liberal institutions, capitalist organization and Western civilization: "The decline of education in America is not an accident, but by design of the Marxist left, Representative Burgess Owens (R-UT) said during an appearance on *Breitbart News Daily*. When asked about the sad state of affairs in the current American education system and the lack of basic knowledge children and teenagers now have, Owens said it is further evidence that education has been under 'attack for quite a while.' 'We didn't get to where we are today by accident, where we're failing our kids in such a broad spectrum—and yet we still have adults who, for some reason, want to defend it,' he said, explaining that the education system has long been targeted and attacked by the Marxist left. 'It speaks to, I think, a bigger conversation, and that's why it's so important. We've been under attack for decades by the Marxist left. These are folks who do not love our country. They are against every bit of the tenets that we believe in—this is free market, education,' Owens said, expressing gratitude that President Trump is a man of his word and is doing something about this. 'We have to be able to have so much confidence in the way we think, that we sit down with someone else who does not agree with us, but still, we can have a great debate, respect each other, move forward. That has been the way of America, and that's why, again, we are where we are. Keep in mind that this—this is not by accident, where we are with education,' he emphasized. 'We've been under attack by the hard Marxist left. And by the way, as Karl Marx said, the first battleground is rewriting of history. You take away our history, you take away our ability to think, then we find ourselves at a place where we're divided, hating each other, with no vision, hopeless, and that is the goal of the Marxist left,' Owens added, saying this is a 'remarkable time' in history. 'President Trump has done a lot. The most consequential thing he can do, and has done, is allow our kids to be educated, to think, and to communicate with each other in a big way to move forward,' the congressman added." (*Representative Burgess Owens: Decline Of Education In America Not An Accident, But By Design Of The 'Marxist Left'* by Hannah Knudsen). **Islam.** Look at the world today and see Muslims turn up the streets and soil in violent, uncontrollable rampages. See Muslims wield knives and kill the voices of freedom. See Muslims spread terror into homes and neighborhoods. See Muslims from Algeria to Mexico to Monaco to Madrid make fearsome offerings of the innocent on the altars of Allah and worship dark gods named *Schizophrenia*, *Mental Illness* and *Mass Murder*. See a Marxist establishment rip up terrorist watchlists and give Muslim predators immunity from prosecution and deportation, and a license to blow up the foundations of civilization: "French police have made several arrests since a man went on a stabbing rampage, killing one and wounding several others in what President Emmanuel Macron called an 'Islamist terrorist act,' antiterror prosecutors told *AFP* Sunday. The knife wielding suspect, identified by prosecutors as a 37 year old Algerian born man, was arrested at the site of Saturday's attack in the eastern city of Mulhouse. He was on a terrorism watchlist and subject to deportation orders. A further three people were in custody in connection with the case Sunday, the PNAT prosecutors unit said, without giving details. Local prosecutor Nicolas Heitz said the suspect, who he did not name, was registered on France's terrorist watchlist. Speaking at the police station late Saturday, Interior Minister Bruno Retailleau said the man had 'a schizophrenic profile' and his act had 'a psychiatric dimension.' Retailleau said France had repeatedly attempted to expel him from the country, but Algeria refused to cooperate." (*France Arrests Three More In Connection To Migrant 'Islamist' Stabbing Attack*, *Breitbart News*, February 23, 2025). **Fascism.** Look at the world today and see fascists condemn the Enlightenment notions of human essentialism and universalism in the name of race and blood. See fascists condemn corporate, liberal capitalist, Enlightenment based globalism. See fascists declare war on Western freedom, marching in the brownshirts of a hate charged, racialist, national socialist orthodoxy: "On Tuesday afternoon, the *Prospect* posted an article about Tucker

Carlson on its home page. Focusing almost solely on Carlson's opposition to corporate globalism, it missed a very large forest for some very cherry picked trees. It failed to note the roots of Carlson's positions, in a broader sense failing to note that opposition to neoliberal orthodoxy is an element of both progressive [Marxist], and fascist politics, and hence, depending on whence it comes, not automatically worthy of celebration." (*The Real Tucker Carlson* by Tucker Carlson). Look at the world today and see fascists reject Western reason in the name of populism, nationalism and racial mysticism. See fascists reject the Western Enlightenment and descend into the sorcery and black magic worshiped by Hitler's neoMarxists: "Bannonism or the political philosophy of Steve Bannon, is among the most contentious forms of a new populism. It underpins nationalistic movements in a number of Western democracies. Bannonism is also peculiar—its inspiration is esoteric fascism and the dark arts." (*Steve Bannon's Roots: Esoteric Fascism And Aryanism* by Romi Mukherjee). **Christianity.** Look at the world today and see the Dark Ages invade the highest councils of state. See the Supreme Court of the United States nullify the Establishment Clause of the First Amendment and breach the wall of separation between church and state. Listen to the court proclaim that because the word *abortion* does not appear in the Constitution, the right to liberty does not mean the right to liberty, and states have the right to declare that a human zygote at the moment of conception is an actual human person possessing constitutional rights by virtue of the reception of a mystical, supernatural soul, and that abortion is murder: "The Constitution does not confer a right to abortion; *Roe* and *Casey* are overruled; and the authority to regulate abortion is returned to the people and their elected representatives." (*Dobbs versus Jackson Women's Health Organization*, 2022). **Hinduism.** Look at the world today and see voices from the Vedas, from dharma, from mystical cosmic laws and the mystical nature of reality thunder across Asia. See mass pilgrimmages to Indian holy places stampede across Western traditions and institutions: "Lately I have been noticing a certain uptrend among the Indian communities in Malaysia, namely the Hindus. They seemed to be pretty excited about their religion, almost everyone I know seemed to be going for temple tours or even pilgrimages to some holy places in India. I mean, there seemed to be a rise in the enthusiasm for Hinduism more than what I experienced growing up in the conservative plantations." (*Hinduism Is Making A Comeback, In A Big Way. Well, It Never Left, But There Is A Rejuvenating Interest Which, To This Author, Is A Trifle Disturbing* by Rakesh Kumar). **Orthodox Judaism.** Look at the world today and see the voices of the Torah, the Talmud, and strict adherence to the rabbis descend from Mount Sinai and sweep across the world. See thousands upon thousands of rules from *Halakha* or Jewish Law strangle the lives of millions upon millions of people, and give the the holiest of commands, the holiest of rules, to destroy Western civilization: "The resurgence of Orthodoxy may be the most profound, and is certainly the most surprising, transformation of Judaism in the past 60 years. Even more surprising, the most energetic part of it is not 'modern' Orthodoxy but a culturally insular Orthodoxy—made up of Hasidic courts, men educated exclusively in Talmud, and a culture suspicious or even dismissive of secular society. This is the Haredi world." (*The Great Orthodox Comeback* by Lawrence Grossman). Joseph Noel Sylvestre was a nineteenth century French artist world famous for his depiction of scenes from Classical antiquity. His most acclaimed work is the 1890 *Sack Of Rome In 410 By The Barbarians*. This oil on canvas depicts the victory of the Visigoths by a mob toppling over a statue of a Roman statesman. In the twenty first century, in another culture, in the Faustian culture of the West, the mobs of cultural collapse are back in business. These mobs have not crashed through the gates of civilization but have been let through by a Marxist establishment. Seeking to subvert and overthrow the traditions and institutions of the Enlightenment, the establishment's Marxist mobs, fascist mobs, Muslim mobs, Christian mobs, Hindu mobs and Orthodox Jewish mobs have toppled over the statues which crowned the glory of the West.

SOUTH AFRICA TODAY: WHITE MAN DIE!

The German nation does not wish its interests to be determined and controlled by any foreign nation. France to the French, England to the English, America to the Americans, and Germany to the Germans. We are resolved to prevent the settlement in our country of a strange people which was capable of snatching for itself all the leading positions in the land, and to oust it. During the time of my struggle for power it was in the first instance the Jewish race which only received my prophecies with laughter when I said that I would one day take over the leadership of the State, and with it that of the whole nation, and that I would then among many other things settle the Jewish problem. Their laughter was uproarious, but I think that for some time now they have been laughing on the other side of their face. Today I will once more be a prophet: If the international Jewish financiers in and outside Europe should succeed in plunging the nations once more into a world war, then the result will not be the Bolshevization of the earth, and thus the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe! (Speech to the Reichstag, January 30, 1939). Hatred. Jews as a foreign nation. Jews as an alien race. Jews as oppressive and exploitative capitalists. Jews deserving of annihilation. Thus spoke German fuhrer Adolf Hitler from a podium prepared by the Prince of Darkness. Less than a century after Hitler's national socialism conquered Germany and spread a virus of hatred across the land, the spirit of the fuhrer has moved across the face of the waters and landed 13000 kilometers away. Less than a century after Hitler's neoMarxist creed launching a race-class war against Jews, the Enlightenment and the liberal West, the neoMarxists have set up shop in a land in southernmost Africa, a land reaching from the Atlantic Ocean to the Indian Ocean, and transformed the Republic of South Africa into new apartheid, new Hitlerite, new Marxist state in which a black Marxist war of annihilation has been launched against whites and capitalists. The colors have changed from the Third Reich and from South Africa's former white supremacist regime, but the hatred and the socialism have remained the same, and the desire to murder has again become the order of the day, and genocide is the prevailing plan. Look at South Africa today and see Marx's and Hitler's children in action seeking to burn down the house of liberal democracy, and render the revolution overthrowing the former whites only regime morally and spiritually meaningless. Look at South Africa today and listen to a manifesto of race hatred and race war seeking to pound the Enlightenment into dust and turn back the clock to the years zero. It proclaims that a progressive South Africa establishes constitutional courts sanctioning the killing of whites. A progressive South Africa sanctions hate speech, hate incitement and hate violence directed against Afrikaners and white farmers. A progressive South Africa sanctions the killing of whites in the name of black liberation. A progressive South Africa sanctions the killing of white in the name of black economic freedom. A progressive South Africa sanctions armed conflict against whites in the name of achieving social justice. A progressive South Africa seeks to radicalize and nullify the 1996 Constitution in order to allow state sponsored mass killing of whites. A progressive South Africa is a *Kill the White Farmer* movement firing automatic weapons to achieve social justice. A progressive South Africa worships and prays from the bibles of black power. A progressive South Africa grants asylum to black murderers of whites throughout the world. A progressive South Africa establishes courts of race justice and race agitation denying human rights to whites and reducing them to personae non grata: "South Africa’s highest court, the Constitutional Court, has refused to allow an appeal of a lower court’s decision that the phrase *Kill the Boer*, referring to Afrikaners and farmers, was not hate speech or incitement under the law. The decision Thursday ended a years long battle waged by AfriForum, which represents a broad array of Afrikaner organizations, against a song used by Julius Malema and his party, the Economic Freedom Fighters (EFF). Malema has argued that the song is not meant to be taken literally—at least, not yet—as it was a song used by the liberation movements during the decades of the struggle against apartheid, which included armed conflict. However, in the context

of shocking farm murders, the song is seen by many people—especially Afrikaners and farmers—as a form of incitement to genocide. South Africans, particularly the government, pride themselves on their 1996 Constitution and their judiciary—but as AfriForum CEO Kallie Kriel said, 'We are seeing an increasing radical implementation of the Constitution.' Kriel and AfriForum recently visited the United States to raise awareness of the plight of Afrikaners. After President Donald Trump issued an executive order suspending aid to South Africa and offering asylum to Afrikaners, critics claimed that there was no threat whatsoever. Wednesday's *Kill the Boer* ruling might seem to suggests otherwise. Malema performed the *Kill the Boer, The Farmer* song, mimicking a machine gun, on Human Rights Day, March 21. Ironically, Tembeka Ngcukaitobi, a lawyer representing Malema, also appeared at the International Court of Justice (ICJ) last year to argue that Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu's reference to the Bible to inspire soldiers *was* incitement to genocide after the October 7, 2023, terror attack." (*South Africa's Highest Court Decides 'Kill The Boer' Is Not Hate Speech* by Joel Pollak). Look at South Africa today and see legislation passed to expropriate whites and award the plunder to blacks. See a Hitler style law redistributing land in accordance with race. See a national socialist style law redistributing land in accordance with racial demographics. See a law targeting the white lands of freedom for collectivization. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of the black majority. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of land reform and rural development. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of equitable access to land. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of national economic development. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of correcting past discrimination. See a law stealing land from Afrikaners in the name of transitioning from apartheid to democracy. See a law legalizing seizures of land from whites, prohibiting white ownership of land, and transforming South Africa into a savage jungle called Zimbabwe, the Third Reich, Soviet Russia and Maoist China: "President Donald Trump's concerns about South Africa's recent legislation on expropriation were vindicated Wednesday when news emerged of new legislation to allow land to be redistributed along racial lines. The Freedom Front Plus, a small right wing party in the South African parliament that represents a primarily Afrikaans speaking constituency, warned that the new proposal would seek to bring land ownership patterns—currently dominated by whites—into line with the demographic reality of a black majority in South Africa. In a statement, the party said: 'The Department of Land Reform and Rural Development today announced to the relevant parliamentary Portfolio Committee that the so-called Equitable Access to Land Bill will be launched this year. The objective of the Bill is to bring landownership in line with the country's demographics using race as basis. According to the schedule, Cabinet is due to discuss the Bill in March already, followed by public submissions in April and May, a review by Nedlac [the National Economic Development and Labor Council] in August and tabling to Parliament in October. Very few details are available as yet, but the objective is clear: correcting the socalled skewed pattern of land ownership in the near future. The Expropriation Act, which President Cyril Ramaphosa signed into law earlier this year, is mentioned in the same breath as the proposed Bill as a mechanism whereby government could gain access to land. The FF Plus wants to encourage everyone with an interest in landownership to be prepared. It seems as if the ANC wants to use this to rise to the MK's challenge to move as fast as possible. Neither the Department nor the Portfolio Committee has tried to hide the fact that this Bill is aimed at white landownership. They view it as a part of the freedom struggle which was not fully carried out in 1994. In other words, they decided to unilaterally breach the initial agreement in yet another way.' The *MK* is an extreme party headed by former President Jacob Zuma. The reference to 1994 is a nod to South Africa's transition from apartheid to democracy. Trump's recent executive order suspending aid to South Africa and offering refuge to Afrikaner farmers referred to the recent Expropriation Act, which critics have said could allow the South African government to conduct Zimbabwe style land seizures in the future." (*Trump Vindicated As South Africa Considers Bill To*

Redistribute Land On Racial Lines by Joel Pollak). Look at South Africa today and see legalized violence against whites. See land expropriation laws directed against whites designed to take the nation out of the free world, a sworld whose outpost in the Middle East is Israel, a state which South Africa condemns because of its freedom. See a new apartheid state established which segregates whites, deprives them of their land, and enslaves them to the new commissars of black Marxist power. See hymns to a dark god called Hate resound across the land. The lyrics are *Kill Whites*, *Kill The Boer*, *Kill The Constitution*, *Kill Liberal South Africa* and *Kill Western Civilization*: "Secretary of State Marco Rubio on Monday said white South Africans facing threats of violence would be welcomed in the United States. Rubio's position comes amid tension between the South African government and the Trump administration over that country's land expropriation law and its antiIsrael stance. In a post on X, Rubio referred to the *Kill The Boer*, an old antiapartheid chant that critics say is a call to antiwhite violence and has been used to refer to white farmers. 'South Africa's leaders and politicians must take action to protect Afrikaner and other disfavored minorities,' Rubio wrote on Monday. 'The United States is proud to offer those individuals who qualify for admission to our nation amid this continued horrible threat of violence.' " (*Rubio Says South Africa Must Protect White Farmers, US Will Offer Admission To Those Facing Violence* by Louis Casiano). Germany, September 15, 1935. A special meeting of the Reichstag is convened during the annual Nuremberg Rally of the National Socialist Party. Two infamous laws are passed: the Law for the Protection of German Blood and German Honor, and the Reich Citizenship Law. These laws deprive Jews of their human rights as the deny their human existence, and are paving stones for the gas vans, death squads and Zyklon B chambers of the Holocaust. In the twenty first century in South Africa, these laws have been retrieved from the ashes of Hitler's nightmare regime and given a new lease on life. Names have been changed to allow their continuance in a new world. The hate objects are now whites; the hate regime is now a black Marxist Republic of South Africa; and a genocide against whites is occurring which is drowning the nation in blood.

EMPIRES GOOD AND EVIL

An empire is a political unit composed of territories, outposts and states, governed from a dominant center. Divided between a center and peripheries, an empire is created by conquest and differs from a federation, which is formed by the voluntary association of states. The word *empire* is derived from the Roman word *imperium*, and is associated with the ideas of imperialism, colonialism and globalization. Empires can be good or evil; they can be based on human reason and virtue and create the conditions for human flourishing; or they can be based on irrational faith and blind obedience and create the conditions for human enslavement. Over the past two thousand years, six main empires have arisen and conferred blessings or brought down scourges upon mankind. All save one have been based upon irrational faith, and all save two have exacted blind obedience and created the conditions for human enslavement. These empires have reached across different cultures and have been global in reach, and their ideas have inspired or repelled civilized people. These empires are the Roman Empire, the Christian Empire, the British Empire, the Third Reich Empire, the Communist Empire and the Islamic Empire. **Roman Empire**. The Roman Empire was unique in the history of mankind. Born in Classical culture, it alone was based on human reason and virtue and created the conditions for human flourishing. Before the advent of the plagues, subversions and invasions brought by Christians, barbarians, and mob induced socialism and statism, the Roman Empire was based on the definition of man as a rational animal, as a being who uniquely flourishes by the use of reason, and in vast territory reaching from the Mediterranean to Europe to Western Asia to North Africa, established an empire of human reason, the only human empire in the history of mankind. The Romans affirmed that man the rational animal has a good and perfection diametrically opposed to that of animals, and individuals who

fail to achieve this state of perfection, who fail to live up to the definition of man, are not entitled to enjoy human freedom. The Romans affirmed that human freedom is not an end in itself and is not a license to live a life devoid of rational flourishing, but is an instrument to achieve the latter, and if it is not used in this manner, it is freedom abused and perverted. The Romans affirmed that individuals who fail to live up to the definition of man as a rational animal are not, morally speaking, human persons and are not morally entitled to enjoy human freedom, and are legitimate objects of aggression. Listen to the preeminent philosopher of Classical culture affirm that the purpose of the state is to advance the rational end and perfection of the individual. Listen to Aristotle affirm that man possesses a special attribute which distinguishes him from animals. This is the faculty of knowing truth from falsehood, good from evil and right from wrong, that is, the faculty of reason, and the only human type of state is a rational partnership and association which protects the freedom of rational flourishers and denies legal protection to human animals: "The partnership composed of several villages is the state. While it comes into existence for the sake of life, the state exists for the rational good life. It is the special property of man in distinction from the other animals that he alone has perception of good and bad and right and wrong and the other moral qualities, and it is partnership in these things that makes the best household and the best state." (*Politics*, Chapter 1). **Christian Empire.** The new culture of the West, emerging in 1000 AD upon the ruins of the Classical world, brought down a Dark Age without equal in human history. For five centuries, from 1000 AD to 1500 AD, a state of intellectual regression ruled a continent which once had worshiped at the altar of Apollo, god of reason and bringer of the sun every morning. Trapped in an age which rejected the value of this world and immolated the senses on the altar of an otherworldly faith and fanaticism, fourteenth century Tuscan scholar Petrarch describes the regime of the newly ascendant Catholic Church. He describes a nightmare realm of intellectual death extending from Rome to Constantinople to Jerusalem to Antioch to Alexandria to Britain. He describes an underworld in which the raging storms of religion have mauled apart the mind and sealed off the slaves of the new regime from the radiance of reason, truth and science: "My fate is to live among varied and confusing storms. But for you perhaps, if as I hope and wish you will live long after me, there will follow a better age. This sleep of forgetfulness will not last forever. When the darkness has been dispersed, our descendants can come again in the former pure radiance." (*Africa*, IX by Petrarch). Historian Caesar Baronius describes a new age rising beneath the lengthening shadow of the Cross. He describes this age as a tomb, ruled by the cold, cruel hand of the Devil, extinguishing the light of reason and the senses with the stained glass of mysticism, crushing the voices exalting this earth with the leaden weights of supernaturalism, and suppressing the search for empirical truth with the iron jackboots of mental regimentation: "The new age that was beginning, for its harshness and barrenness of goodness could well be called like iron, and for its baseness and abounding evil like lead, and moreover for its lack of writers could be called a dark age." (*Ecclesiastical Annals* by Caesar Baronius). **British Empire.** From the late sixteenth century to the early twentieth century, the largest empire in history ruled dominions, colonies, protectorates, mandates and territories throughout the world, enforcing a religion of liberal license. For four centuries, the flag of the United Kingdom was unfurled over the lives of 400 million people, spread out over 35 million square kilometers, comprising twenty four percent of the earth's land area, reaching from Canada to Cairo to the Cape of Good Hope. For four centuries, the empire on which "the sun never sets" because the sun always shined on at least one of its multifarious regions, presided over a cultural, constitutional, legal and linguistic jungle rejecting the definition of man as a rational animal and proclaiming the primacy of individual license. Listen to the preeminent philosopher of liberal license proclaim that all individuals possess the same moral character, the same dignity and worth, and thus enjoy the same freedom, so long as they do not commit acts of aggression against any others. Listen to John Locke proclaim that because there is no such thing as objective truth or a rational good or perfection of man, all individuals possess natural liberty, the

right to enjoy safe and peaceful living, and the right to own and dispose of private property as they see fit, no matter who or what they are, so long as they respect the equal license of others to do the same: "Men being, as has been said, by nature, all free, equal, and independent, no one can be put out of this estate, and subjected to the political power of another, without his own consent. The only way whereby any one divests himself of his natural liberty, and puts on the bonds of civil society, is by agreeing with other men to join and unite into a community for their comfortable, safe and peaceable living, one amongst another, in a secure enjoyment of their properties, and a greater security against any that are not of it. This any number of men may do, because it injures not the freedom of the rest; *they* are left as they were in the liberty of the state of nature. When any number of men have so consented to make one community or government, they are thereby presently incorporated, and make one body politic, wherein the majority have a right to act and conclude the rest." (*Second Treatise On Government* by John Locke, Chapter 8). **Third Reich Empire.** From 1933 to 1945, a regime called the Third Reich, the Third Realm and the Thousand Year Reich proclaimed itself to be the successor of the Holy Roman Empire, 800 to 1806, and the Bismarckian German Empire, 1871 to 1918. For twelve years, this Third Reich was ruled by its fuhrer Adolf Hitler and enforced a neoMarxist religion of ethnicity and blood across Europe, from Austria to Sudetenland to France to Eastern Europe to North Africa. For twelve years, a religion of the Aryan master race declared war on the Enlightenment as it targeted Jews for extermination, and set into motion gas vans, mobile machine gun squads and zyklon B death chambers carrying the Holocaust. For twelve years, the swastika flag was unfurled across a new continental evening land commanded from Berlin, establishing nationalism, racialism, socialism and mass murder as the tenets of the official state church. Listen to Hitler condemn global capitalism and condemn Jews as the avatars of freedom and the Enlightenment, and call for their annihilation: "I want today to be a prophet again: if international finance Jewry inside and outside Europe should succeed in plunging the nations once more into a world war, the result will be not the Bolshevization of the earth and thereby the victory of Jewry, but the annihilation of the Jewish race in Europe." (Speech to the Reichstag, January 30, 1939). **Communist Empire.** For most of the twentieth century, one third of the world's population lived under a regime of human enslavement the likes of which history had never seen before. Enforcing Karl Marx's religion of the proletariat, this regime, reaching from Cuba to China to Korea to Cambodia to Eastern Europe, pushed 100 million men, women and children into a charnel house marked *totalitarian terror* and committed a genocide never before seen in history, a genocide dwarfing all previous acts of genocide, a genocide targeting the human race for annihilation. Under the flag of the hammer and sickle, a global octopus reached out from the *Communist Manifesto*, placed 100 million people behind an iron curtain, and consigned freedom and dignity to the dustbin of history: "From Stettin in the Baltic to Trieste in the Adriatic an iron curtain has descended across the Continent. Behind that line lie all the capitals of the ancient states of Central and Eastern Europe. Warsaw, Berlin, Prague, Vienna, Budapest, Belgrade, Bucharest and Sofia, all these famous cities and the populations around them lie in what I must call the Soviet sphere, and all are subject in one form or another, not only to Soviet influence but to a very high and, in some cases, increasing measure of control from Moscow." (*Sinews Of Peace*, by Winston Churchill, speech delivered at Westminster College, Missouri, March 5, 1946). **Islamic Empire.** September 11, 2001, New York City and Washington DC. Satan's envoys reciting the Quran, the hadith, jihad and sharia carry out three terror attacks in which they crash three commercial airliners into the World Trade Center in New York City and into the Pentagon in Arlington County, Virginia. This day, which will live forever in the annals of barbarity, is the worst private terror attack in history, claiming the lives of 3000 people. The flames engulfing the Twin Towers and the Department of Defense headquarters are torches lighting up the visage of a monster whose maw has opened up and consumed the free world. This nightmare creature, this Al Qaeda, this Islamic State, this Taliban, this Boko Haram, this Al Shabaab, is an Islamic Empire which kills 250,000

people every year in terror attacks. Look at the world today and see global Islam invade Western institutions and ride roughshod over freedom of speech and expression. See the jackboots of political correctness jail voices condemning an empire of ignorance, hate, fear, violence and control, and see them repeal the Enlightenment in the name of protecting Muslims from emotional harm and distress: "A man was arrested in Manchester, England, on Saturday after appearing to burn a copy of the Quran in the city center. Greater Manchester Police said that a 47 year old man has been arrested on suspicion of a racially aggravated public order offense, the *Manchester Evening News* reported. Assistant Chief Constable Stephanie Parker said: 'We understand the deep concern this will cause within some of our diverse communities and are aware of a live video circulating. We made a swift arrest at the time and recognize the right people have for freedom of expression, but when this crosses into intimidation to cause harm or distress we will always look to take action when it is reported to us.' " (*UK 1984: Man Arrested After Appearing To Burn Quran in Manchester* by Kurt Zindulka). The ideas of these empires, save one, pervade the world today. The idea of liberal license still commands an audience, but one which is vanishing before an onslaught of socialism, statism and religion. The ideas of communism are alive and well in a global movement of gender, race and class ideologies. The ideas of the Third Reich have returned under the flags of black nationalism, black Marxism, critical race theory and radical feminism. One idea, an idea from a culture which worshiped in the temples of Apollo and Helios, an idea exalting rational virtue, rational flourishing and the definition of man as a rational animal, has fallen into oblivion in the twenty first century.